



ROY CITY
Roy City Council Meeting Agenda
December 18, 2018 – 6:00 p.m.
Roy City Council Chambers
5051 South 1900 West

Minutes of the Roy City Council Meeting held in the City Council Chambers of the Roy City Municipal Building on December 18, 2018 at 5:30 p.m.

Notice of the meeting was provided to the Standard Examiner at least 24 hours in advance. A copy of the agenda was posted.

The following members were in attendance:

Mayor Robert Dandoy
Councilmember Burrell
Councilmember Paul
Councilmember Saxton
Councilmember Tafoya
Councilmember Yeoman

City Manager, Jason Poulsen
City Attorney, Andy Blackburn

Also present were: Management Services Director, Matt Andrews; Police Chief, Carl Merino; Fire Chief, Jeff Comeau; Parks and Recreation Director, Travis Flint; Public Works Director, Ross Oliver; City Planner, Steve Parkinson; Mandie Worton, Morgan Langholz, Trent Wilkins, Glenda Moore, Stan Hoellein, Todd & Brittany Fowers, Dan Phelps, Edna Johnson, John Vine, Haiyu Hardman, Dezaray Ludwig, Ethel Quinosa Steiner, Rich Steiner, Altina Stott, Scott Wadman, Lancer Carter, Kyrese Rowan, Kobe Kennedy, Joe Patzer, Sarah Smith, Brent Mair, Cole Neal, Baylea Bramwell.

Welcome & Roll Call

Mayor Dandoy welcomed those in attendance and noted Councilmembers Burrell, Paul, Saxton, Tafoya and Yeoman were present.

A. Moment of Silence

Councilmember Tafoya invited the audience to observe a moment of silence.

B. Pledge of Allegiance

Councilmember Tafoya led the audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

C. Consent Items

(These items are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by a single motion. If discussion is desired on any particular consent item, that item may be removed from the consent agenda and considered separately.)

1. Approval of the November 20, 2018 & December 4, 2018, City Council Minutes

Councilmember Yeoman motioned to approve the November 20, 2018, and December 4, 2018, City Council Minutes, as well as the approval of an alcoholic beverage license for the Roy Sinclair, located at 5608 South and 1900 West. Councilmember Paul seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “Aye.” The motion carried.

D. Action Items

1. Swearing in of New Police Officers

Police Chief Merino explained that two of the officers being sworn in had just gone through the Police Academy, while the third came from another agency. He added that though two of the officers had the same last name, they were not related. One Officer, he continued, came from Clinton and had been a canine handler. As a result, Chief Merino considered him to be a capable officer. He mentioned that the Officer had served for seven years and brought a lot of experience with him. The two other officers, he continued, had just started into the field training program the week of the meeting.

City Recorder, Morgan Langholf proceeded to swear in the new Police Officers Jake Fowers, Todd Fowers, and Kevin Jared Smith.

Police Chef Merino explained that Officer Jake Fowers had been stolen from Public Works, and that Officer Smith had been stolen from the Fire Department.

Mayor Dandoy asked the newly sworn in officers to introduce their families. The officers introduced the family members who had come to attend their swearing in.

Police Chief Merino pointed that a lot of the officers were sporting a beard. He explained that they had been paying a fee for doing so. Through the fall, the fees collected would be donated to charity. While the officers had been told they should grow their beard during hunting season, they had explained that said season lasted from August until February, and that they did not want to grow their beards for such an extended period of time.

2. Promotion of Danny Hammon, Kevin Smith & Andrew Harper

Chief Merino presented the Council with three new promotions: Danny Hammon and Kevin Smith being promoted to Captain and Andrew Harper being promoted to Sergeant. Family members were then introduced.

Mayor Dandoy asked to move the items on the agenda and to celebrate the Christmas Lights Contest winner at that moment. City Recorder Langholf asked the contestants line up. She explained that a total of 16 houses had participated in the contest. She presented the winners and honorable mentions. Mayor Dandoy explained that he had had some opportunities to drive around the City and witness some of these homes. He added there were some beautiful Christmas lights to be seen. He encouraged for these addresses to be made public and recommended that everyone take advantage of these beautiful lights over the next few days.

3. Appointment of Business Advisory Board Members

Mayor Dandoy asked that this item be tabled until the January 15, 2019, Council meeting.

4. Consideration of Resolution No. 18-34, Adopting new fees for the bowery rental at the Roy City Aquatic Center

Parks and Recreation Director Flint explained that a few months before the current meeting, the Department had come to the Council with different fees for Aquatic Center rentals. He mentioned that the bowery should have been included at that time. The Department, he added, was proposing to keep the resident rate as it was, at \$75, and to raise the non-resident rate to \$80. This, he explained, would stay

consistent and aligned with what had been done with the rest of the fees. He continued by stating that the Department was seeking the Council's approval of the Resolution.

Mayor Dandoy asked whether the Council had comments or questions. There were none.

Councilmember Burrell motioned to approve Resolution No. 18-34 Adopting new fees for the bowery rental at the Roy City Aquatic Center. Councilmember Yeoman seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "Aye." The motion carried.

5. Consideration of Resolution No. 18-35, Adopting the 2019 City Council Meeting Dates

City Manager Poulsen explained that the Council had to vote on the meeting dates. Mayor Dandoy asked whether the Council had comments or questions. There were none.

Councilmember Paul motioned to approve Resolution No. 18-35 adopting the 2019 City Council Meeting dates. Councilmember Burrell seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "Aye." The motion carried.

6. Consideration of Resolution No. 18-36, Adopting a new Transportation Plan

City Manager Poulsen explained that the transportation plan had been presented to the staff during the last work session. The official, updated plan, he added, was being presented to the Council with the changes and corrections.

Councilmember Saxton explained that the address for the cable had been listed incorrectly on page six of the document. He asked if this issue had been fixed. The coordinates, he added, had been switched between West and South. City Manager Poulsen stated that this issue would be addressed.

Mayor Dandoy asked whether the Council had comments or questions. There were none.

Councilmember Yeoman motioned to approve Resolution No. 18-36 Adopting a new Transportation Plan, with the amendment of updating the language listed on page six. Councilmember Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "Aye." The motion carried.

11. **5:30 P.M. PUBLIC HEARING** to Consider a Request to Amend the General Plan (Future Land Use Map) for property from Medium Density Single-Family Residential Commercial and Zoning Map from R-1-8 to CC for property located at approximately 4953 South 3500 West

- a. Consideration of Ordinance No. 18-26, Approving Amendments to the General Plan (Future Land Use Map) from Medium Density Single-Family Residential to Commercial for property located at approximately 4953 South 3500 West
- b. Consideration of Ordinance 18-27, Approving amendments to the Zoning Map from R-1-8 to CC for properties located at approximately 4953 South 3500 West

Councilmember Yeoman motioned to enter the public hearing. Councilmember Paul seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "Aye." The motion carried.

City Planner Parkinson explained that the public comments would pertain to a request to amend the General Plan (Future Land Use Map) for property from Medium Density Single-Family Residential

Commercial and Zoning Map from R-1-8 to CC for property located at approximately 4953 South 3500 West. He added that the three properties in question were highlighted on a map he had provided. He explained that there was a single-family home in the middle of the three parcels. He continued stating that this location was south of 4000 South and 3500 West, with nine acres of vacant land. The future land use map he presented also showed residential use, which was medium density. The zoning map, he pointed out, was more mixed, with community commercial, R1-10, R1-6, R1-8, and RE-15. From a zoning point of view, he added, spot zoning, as was the case here, was not advisable. The applicant was requesting the change to Commercial for the future land use map. He was also requesting to have the zoning map changed to Community Commercial, so it could be aligned with the nine acres above the property. The Planning Commission, City Planner Parkinson continued, had held a hearing on December 11, 2018. Three individuals had gotten up to comment, and they were all opposed to the changes. All these individuals lived within the area. One resident lived across the street on 4950 South and 3500 West, while the other two lived west of the parcels. He added that most residents had concerns about the amount of traffic that would be generated, which he agreed could be an issue. He further explained that 3500 West was a UDOT road that would only get wider as traffic was increasing. He noted that the newly approved transportation plan called for a widening of 3500 West to a five-lane road. City Planner Parkinson explained that a turn lane would be added, which would be helpful as would the new shoulder. The two residents west of the parcel had voiced concerns about privacy and views. City Planner Parkinson explained he had discussed this with the Planning Commission. He added that an R1-8 zone single family home could be 35 feet tall and had to stand 25 feet from a property line, which would mean the view would disappear regardless. If commercial were to be built there, he added, there would be a 20-foot setback, unless the building were to be taller than 25 feet. He added that a 35-foot building would require a 35-foot setback. A taller building therefore would require a greater buffer. Knowing that the parcels in this area were small, City Planner Parkinson explained that there would most likely be no more than single story buildings. He explained that the view would be hindered, but that privacy would be retained as buildings would not have a second story.

When asked about the parcel south of the one being discussed, City Planner Parkinson explained that this business, which was a living facility, was part of the annexation plan. He continued that after closing the public hearing, the Planning Commission voted six to one to forward a recommendation of approval for both the general plan amendment and the zoning map amendment.

Chad Gielman explained that traffic was challenging as it were and would get worse once the lanes were doubled. Building commercial in this area would only make the matter worse. He mentioned hearing that UDOT would change the layout to get into the area but continued that the traffic was already challenging. He added hearing from the Planning Commission that commercial zoning raised property values but continued that this was false. Mr. Gielman explained he worked for Ogden Industrial and added that there were two houses there that were never able to sell as they were surrounded by commercial property. He pointed that only one member of the Planning Commission had voted “Nay” and added that trying to have other members of the Planning Commission come and talk to residents had been unsuccessful. He explained that he was not a good speaker or writer and, as a result, had not wanted to create a petition. Mr. Gielman added having talked neighbors in the area who had expressed worries about how they would enter and exit the area. He explained they would essentially have to take a detour and that the traffic would create a safety hazard: more commercial property would only compound the issue. Mr. Gielman asked that if the Councilmembers had doubts, they table the vote until the following month and give Mr. Gielman some time to put together a petition.

Dan Phelps, 3766 North 3675 West, Morgan, UT, stated he was the owner of two of the three parcels requesting the change. He explained that in addition to the change to commercial and having talked to UDOT, one of the things that would be done to facilitate the transition would be to dedicate the front right-of-way up the lot to UDOT to further the expansion needed. Mr. Phelps added that UDOT had been

happy with his volunteering to donate this portion of the property. He explained that he used to own the assisted living facility referred to earlier and had sold it seven years prior. He added that he no longer wished to own the parcels he now had and wanted to do something with them. Mr. Phelps continued that he knew of a local dentist interested in relocating his office to the parcel located on the north side. He explained that his 'for sale' sign had been up for years and that the first question potential buyers asked was whether the location was zoned for commercial or residential use. Upon disclosing that his property was zoned only for residential, he explained that buyers became uninterested. He stated that the highest and best use of these parcels was commercial. Mr. Phelps explained that the size of the lots would be smaller, as he was planning on donating to UDOT about 25% of each lot. The buildings on the parcels would be small. Once the planners and the building department provided their requirements, the buildings would be between 2,500 and 3,500 square feet, which, he mentioned, was a reasonable size. A two-story building, he added, would require more parking than the parcels could afford.

Councilmember Paul motioned to go out of the public hearing. Councilmember Yeoman seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "Aye." The motion carried.

Councilmember Yeoman explained that the need to increase the City's commercial revenue had been discussed for some time. She continued by stating that the City was landlocked and that a decision needed to be made in terms of how to use the parcels available. She added that all the parcels left in the City were in interesting locations, have interesting access, and shapes. She explained the parcels in question were such examples. She continued that there had been talk about the nine acres north of the current parcel. She explained that nothing permanent had come to the Council regarding these other nine acres. Councilmember Yeoman explained that she approved of a single-story commercial space. She argued that when it came to parking, the developer had proposed some solutions to make things easier but that the excess would require two or three more exit/entrances. She mentioned that the City had had other situations where residential was right against small businesses and gave the example of the location across from Burger King, on the South-East corner of 3500 West. Councilmember Yeoman added the Council had had long conversation with the residents and explained there had been concerns about lighting, garbage locations, and fencing, but that solutions had been created, such as the creation of privacy walls. She continued that the location had become a nice addition to the corner. She added that, if granted commercial zoning, a professional business would only operate from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. She continued that there would not be a significant increase of traffic after business hours. Residential properties on this street, she continued, would create difficulties for the existing residents. A small commercial property would not create much traffic and would operate during the business hours of the work week.

Councilmember Paul explained that looking at the City boundary further south, the road would be widened by UDOT which would buy more of the residential property and turn it into commercial. He added that this decision made sense, and the change currently requested was aligned with the general trend. He concluded he would support the decision in spite of the concerns expressed.

Mayor Dandoy explained that this UDOT road was scheduled to be widened to a five-lane road during Phase 1. He continued that while the funding had not been secured, the road was scheduled to be widened, nonetheless. Once widened, he added, dealing with the traffic issues would require provisions to be made regardless of whether the development was residential or commercial. He explained that the conversation about traffic issues had already taken place to ensure that the five-lane widening construction were accounted for. He continued that the City had to assess the roads widening in all the instances of new developments.

City Planner Steve Parkinson explained that for any development taking place along a UDOT road, the State Statute required that UDOT be involved as they would be the one accepting the kind of access that

would be provided. The side plan, he added, had to be approved by them. Mayor Dandoy explained that this process was critical. He added having heard of a situation in Pleasant Grove, where thirteen new homes had been built and the street had to later be widened. He explained that UTA now had no choice but to buy the property at considerable expense to widen the road. This, he added, had been caused by the lack of foresight when planning. His concern, he explained, had been answered as UDOT had been consulted.

Councilmember Saxton asked whether the area would be kept medium density, if the Council did not agree to commercial. He asked how many houses could be added in this scenario. City Planner Parkinson explained there were three separate parcels which would allow two additional homes to be built. He continued that the parcels were not large or wide enough to be further subdivided and that the parcels were just over one acre in total.

Mayor Dandoy asked whether the Council had comments or questions. There were none

Councilmember Yeoman motioned to approve Ordinance No. 18-26 to Amend the General Plan (Future Land Use Map) for property from Medium Density Single-Family Residential Commercial for property located at approximately 4953 South 3500 West. Councilmember Paul seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted “Aye.” The motion carried.

Councilmember Tafoya motioned to approve Ordinance 18-27 amending the Zoning Map from R-1-8 to CC for property located at approximately 4953 South 3500 West. Councilmember Burrell seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted “Aye.” The motion carried.

E. Public Comments

Mayor Dandoy opened floor for public comments.

Glenda Moore, 2088 West 3825 South, asked to put in a request that the procedure for the House Lighting Contest be changed. She explained that upon submitting their house, residents be asked if they authorized their address to be published and to have all the addresses made public. She explained that Roy residents wanted to go see all the houses, but that as things stood, they were not able to. She continued that there could be complications later on if the residents were only told about the winning houses, rather than being provided with the sixteen addresses of the houses entered in the contest.

Mayor Dandoy concurred with Mrs., Moore. He added that the decorations were wonderful and continued that he had to drive quite a bit to see them but that they were beautiful. Mrs. Moore pointed that many people on Facebook had stated wanting to go look at lights.

Councilmember Yeoman asked if it would be feasible to have the public be able to vote. Mrs. Moore stated this would be great. City manager Poulsen stated the Council could set this contest however it wished. Councilmember Yeoman added that it had been very interesting to drive around and see the different Christmas lights. She explained that her husband always he wanted to vote for all the houses he saw. She concluded that the contest would be improved if the citizens voted as well.

Mayor Dandoy stated this would be taken under advisement. Councilmember Burrell added that residents could provide an authorization for the Council to publish their address upon entering the contest.

Stan Hoellein, 4307 South 2675 West, stated having sent an email to the Council yesterday and having had only one answer from Councilmember Paul. He said he found this disappointing, but added having

had a thoughtful phone conversation with City Attorney Andy Blackburn. After that conversation, he continued, he had contacted many of the local municipalities regarding their city ordinances for street parking. He added that something had happened in Roy City with the Planning Commission and Roy Days. He explained being disappointed with the changes made on October 1, 2018, regarding event parking. Mr. Hoellein stated having been told that the Council had received complaints but added that nothing had been done. He explained believing that the Council thought its actions were good, but that it had painted the situation with too wide a brush stroke. He mentioned having talked to individuals about the situation and asked the Council to reconsider its position. He explained having campaigned for Councilmember Saxton's brother Brett.

In the last week, Mr. Hoellein continued, three of his friends had received tickets for parking on the streets. The tickets were received during the day, he noted. He stated the Council should consider that under the old ordinance, the time frame was from 1 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. But during the day, he continued, people became busy and had activities. A friend of his had parked in front of his own driveway to help his wife get into their bed because of her wheelchair. He added that he was parked on the street, and with snow, the new ordinance would make him likely to be ticketed. Another friend had a business doing taxes out of his home. He argued that his clients parked on the street to visit him and that they would also be liable to receiving tickets when snow was present. Mr. Hoellein added this could cause his friend to lose business. Another friend, he further mentioned, had his children's car break down occasionally. He added this was a concern that in such an instance one could park the best they could but would still be liable to receive a ticket. He added that this was how broad the ordinance had been made. His last concern was that he had a family party coming up with a son coming from out of town. His parking was not big enough to handle all the cars and thus some would be parked on the streets. He explained that, if snowing, he was faced with two choices: let people receive tickets, or cancel the party, so people would not be ticketed. His wife, he argued, would be very upset, as she would not be able to see her grandchildren. On the other hand, he continued, there might not be snow on the day of the party, in which case, cars could park on the street. But if the snow started falling, the ordinance made his guests liable for parking on the street. The third option, he continued, would be for the people coming to his party to park a block and half away on an LDS Church parking lot. He explained this was not a good solution.

Mr. Hoellein stated that he did not believe it was the Council's intent to be so hard handed with street parking during snow storms. He explained that the intent was to get cars off the street, so snow plows could freely remove the snow, but added that some amendments were needed. He further mentioned Mr. Blackburn and explained having talked to people in neighboring communities, as well as police officers. He explained that other cities had ordinances written for snow plows' ease of circulation. He clarified that unlike the Roy City ordinance, they had exclusions for emergency vehicles, which was not the case in Roy's ordinance. When it came to enforcement, he added, other cities' police forces had discretion to assess circumstances before ticketing. He stated the police could not, merely looking at a vehicle, determine whether the law was being abused or not. If a police officer could spend five to ten minutes writing a ticket, he explained, he could spend five to ten minutes talking to the house owners to clarify why the car was stationed on the street. He added there was no difference in the amount of time spent. He added that what he was suggesting might not seem sensible, but that he had dealt with the public for many years and that communication was key. He continued that people might have initially agreed with the ordinance, but that others disagreed and had unfortunately not caught on to what was happening in the literature they receive. He added that when receiving the literature from the City, he sometimes disregarded the newsletter and went straight to paying his bill, which, he noted, was what he believed was most important to the Council. Mr. Hoellein asked whether there was a level of precipitation at which the City determined to get the snow plows on the street. He added that during the last snow storm, there were snow plows on his street with only one or two inches of snow. In eight hours, he continued, the snow had melted. He asked where the wisdom was in bringing the snow plows for so little snow while threatening to ticket parked cars. The use of snow plows should be subject to the depth of the snow, he continued,

and the need for the plows to be on the streets. He further added that the citations could then be subject to where the cars were creating an actual hazard. He stated that Ogden did not issue tickets unless car was blocking the road. If a car were not moved after being cited, it was then subject to being towed. He explained that the Police Force and the Court had to fulfill the law and were tied by it. Mr. Hoellein further explained that he did not believe it had been the Council's intent to slap people with citations and make minor criminals of people with parking tickets. He continued that there was a difference between wisdom and knowledge: wisdom knew the difference. The knowledge the Council now had about the streets of Roy, he added, should promote wisdom. The Council, he argued, should now look at the amendment to change the ordinance, to give the citizens the time to acquire the knowledge of the ordinance. He added it should not be a police officer coming to residents' door, but rather a peace officer. A police officer, he continued, was tasked to do good for the City and its citizens.

Mayor Dandoy closed the floor for public comments.

Mayor Dandoy explained he had read the information provided by Mr. Hoellein. He added that Councilmember Paul had been tasked with responding on behalf of the Council. Mayor Dandoy stated he had not responded as he knew the conversation would take place during the meeting. Mayor Dandoy added that he was turning the time to the Council to respond to the comments made.

Councilmember Paul stated that after listening to the comments, he believed this needed to be discussed with citizens. He added that amendments could be made but that this would need to be published so all citizens could attend and participate.

Councilmember Burrell asked how many citations had been issued during the most recent snow storm. Councilmember Paul stated that the Police Chiefs should be allowed some input on the topic, as well as Public Works.

Mr. Hoellein stated that while he did not have exact figures, he believed that 30 or 40 tickets had been written. He added it was a tough call and mentioned it was difficult if the plows could not get through. He asked whether the tickets should be written before storms as the Police was busy with accidents. He continued that the decision was the Council's.

Public Works Director Oliver made a comment which was inaudible.

Mayor Dandoy explained that the call had to be made about how much snow should be on the streets before the plows came out.

Councilmember Paul explained that the issue had been worked out amongst Councilmembers. He added that he believed it was a good solution, and that it was unavoidable that individuals would complain when getting tickets. He continued that the ordinance had been created to increase the safety of citizens and that Public Works Director had recommended that the Council stick to the ordinance. He continued that the Police Officers knew when they should or should not ticket a car and did a great job. He stated trusting the Police Officers' judgement.

Mayor Dandoy explained that this issue was worthy of an in-depth conversation. He added wanting to get to the next agenda item.

Chief Merino explained that the ordinance had been changed. He continued that no matter how the ordinance was changed, the same types of comments were made: home based businesses would be destroyed.

F. Presentations

1. Fiscal Year 2018 Audit Report

Matt Andrews, Management Services Director, explained that it took one a year to review financial procedures and state compliance. He added the audit had been completed and that the auditor would do a presentation. He introduced this year's Auditor, Altina Stott, who presented the FY2018 Audit report. Mrs. Stott thanked the City for allowing its financial records to be audited. She added she was always interested in Roy and that she was happy to be there. Mrs. Stott provided the Council with highlights of the financial report. She explained that on page 14, the Council would find the Independent Auditor Report, which was also named an unqualified. She explained that this report was a clean opinion: the financial statement was reasonably stated with no material mistakes. She further explained that the statement position appeared on page 30. This sheet, she added, showed the owned assets, the liabilities, and the net difference. The assets the City owned, she continued, were valued \$92 million. The liabilities and differed inflows were of \$19 million. She explained that the City was in a sound financial position, as it had more assets than liabilities. The net position, she continued was a \$73 million surplus. She clarified that of the owned assets, not all of it was easily spendable. Of the \$73 million, she continued, only \$14 was not earmarked or set aside for another purpose. She concluded that the City was in a strong financial position.

The next financial statement Mrs. Stott pointed to was the Statement of Activities. She explained this was a profit/loss statement. She pointed the Council to the very last column which showed that the City's total income over expenses was \$2.8 million. This figure, she explained, showed a sound management staff as the income was more than enough to cover expenses, with some funds left over to carry the City for the next year. She thanked the Mayor for being able to present this information to the Council.

Mayor Dandoy asked whether the Council had comments or questions.

Councilmember Saxton commented that page 20 showed one of the highlights of the report was the increase in sales tax by \$280,000, which was over 5.91%. He explained that the City was therefore moving in the right direction. Mrs. Stott explained that this was case, as the City was getting more commercial property which meant more sales tax revenue.

Management Services Director Andrews asked to discuss some of the findings on the disposal of assets. He handed a separate letter. He asked Mrs. Stott about what should be done. Mrs. Stott added that the City used the State policy for the surplus, which was to set the surplus aside for Utah residents to buy. She added that the City had chosen to use the State policies and procedures but did not have its own policies and procedures. She explained that the City should come up with its own policies and procedures, even if they were to mirror the State's. She added it would be beneficial in the event that the City had an exception where it had to go above and beyond what the State recommended.

Councilmember Tafoya explained that the next paragraph stipulated that the City Director of Finance was to be consulted to perform reviews, controls, and supervision services. Mrs. Stott recommended that this process take place every three years and added it had been in excess of five since the last time it had been performed. Councilmember Tafoya asked if Mrs. Stott thought this was reasonable. Mrs. Stott explained that the administration all had time into the different funds of the City. She provided the example of the enterprise fund for utilities. She continued that there was a percentage allocated to administration time and overhead for these enterprise funds. She added that the percentage was the same as the one that had been used in the prior year. As an auditor, she added she did not feel comfortable saying that since one percentage had been used last year it was to be used the following year. She added that she recommended that some specific procedures be put in place, in order to allocate the funds based on reasonable estimates.

Mayor Dandoy asked whether Mrs. Stott was implying that the allocations be raised. She explained that it was not the case. She explained that she merely was suggesting that a reasonable base needed to be established to justify the percentage used.

Mayor Dandoy thanked the staff and department heads for their hard work. He added that the team was doing a wonderful job in making sure the organization was kept functioning while managing the tax payer's money well. He asked for the Council to approve the document.

Councilmember Paul motioned to approve the document. Councilmember Saxton seconded the motions. All Councilmembers voted "Aye." The motion carried.

Fire & Police Service Awards:

Fire Chief Jeff Comeau and Police Chief Carl Merino presented service awards to the teams that were on duty for the Chateau Fire. The fire took place on November 19, 2018, at 11:30 p.m. on Chateau Drive. The service awards, he added, served as recognition to employees for exceptional service under extreme circumstances as well as rescue under life threatening conditions. On that night, he continued, the first responders were faced with extreme conditions for civilian rescues. He mentioned that there was a positive outcome of the intervention with the help of Fire Personal and the PD. He explained that a four-year child had been rescued from the building. He added that the same shift of individuals who took charge of the rescue was working on the night of the Council meeting, which made getting the relevant personnel easier.

Fire Chief Jeff Comeau explained that the recognition would take place in two parts, as some officers would be recognized first. He told the Council that some officers were able to arrive before the Fire Department did. They had found out that a four-year-old was trapped in the building and put their lives at risk to try to save the child before the Fire Department arrived. He explained that six officers had been treated for smoke inhalation on the scene. All officers had minor inhalation and were treated and released. He continued that some officers were lying down on the floor under the smoke trying to reach the child. Police Chief Merino then invited three officers to come forward and gave them their service award.

Fire Chief Jeff Comeau stated that the Fire Department's work was a team effort. He added that the department trained hard for these scenarios and tried to anticipate all possibilities. The chaos of such a scene as the one from the November fire in a multi-family dwelling with lots of residents needing to be accounted for required a lot of split decisions. Without the team efforts, and without the officers arriving early to the scene, without the engineer arriving on the scene and the whole team's ability to make quick decisions, such outcomes would not have happened. He asked to recognize the whole team as well as specific individuals. He continued that from the time the Fire Crew had arrived on the scene, two firefighters had gone through the window under extreme circumstances and without a hold line to extract the four-year old child. With the help of the Police Department the four-year child had been extracted from the building in two minutes, which was a very fast time considering the heavy fire conditions. The individuals, he added, were being recognized for their strong work, their dedication, and their service. The two firefighters who went through the door, he added, had been with the Department less than a year and acted like senior firefighters.

Councilmember Paul stated that he greatly appreciated the service of the Fire Department and the Police Department. Councilmember Yeoman explained that the child who had been rescued was her nephew's grandson. She stated having had a long talk with her family and added that they could not be more appreciative of the level of service and professionalism that was given by the City Fire and Police

Departments. She stated that her family was very grateful. She continued that her family told her of all the miracles that had happened that night. She concluded stating that she wished to convey from all her family a warm thank you to all who participated in the rescue.

It was noted that two of the officers present were from Riverdale. They had responded as soon as the call came in. He continued that Police Chief Merino had been recognized at a Riverdale Council meeting.

Mayor Dandoy stated that there had been an incredible effort.

2. Municipal Park proposed changes

Travis Flint, Parks & Rec Director, began by stating that the City had impressive men and women in the Police and Fire Department. He explained that he would lead a conversation about municipal parks and discuss what needed to be done to move forward with one specific Municipal park. He continued that the park in question was located at 2252 West 5900 South. He added that it sat on 9.24 acres of land and was a very mature park. Its amenities included pickle ball, tennis, squash, baseball and softball courts, a pavilion, three full size basketball courts with six hoops, one restroom, and a walking trail. He continued by stating that the staff had tried to look into a lot of different avenues. Parks & Rec Director Flint stated that one of the things he believed was important was to keep the character of the Park. He explained that the park was a mature one with beautiful trees and beautiful landscaping. He continued that the Park also had several challenges: the park, he explained, was situated on a big slope running East to West. He added that it was currently in use for several soccer activities for young children: for anything beyond four and five-year-old games, the slope was too great.

Parks & Rec Director Flint explained that a survey had been done throughout the City four years ago. The Survey, he added, discussed the things the community would like to see. He explained that one of the community's concerns was the lack of restrooms access. Funding, he continued, had been allocated to upgrade and update the restrooms. He added that these restrooms could be used as a template for future restrooms in other parks. A few months prior to the meeting, Weber State students had come to talk to the Parks & Rec Department, Director Flint explained. As part of a survey, they had asked several questions of 27 people at the Park regarding what they would like to see at the Park. The amenities, he continued, needed to be enhanced. He also explained that people liked the playground at the Park, as well as the walking trail. He argued that about 80% of the people going to the park did so for the two things he had just mentioned. As a result, he continued, it became important for the staff to find solutions to enhance these two elements as well as the Saturday soccer program.

Parks & Rec Department Director Flint explained that the idea the staff came up with was the following: \$125,000 of the budget had been allocated to improve parks. Showing a map to the Council, he explained that the staff wanted to redo the walking trail. Going back to his first slide, he showed that in 1992, the Community in Roy City had built the walking trail together, which made it the oldest walking trail in the City. He continued by stating that the trail got a lot of use and needed to have some time and funds put back into it. He explained that a lot of the funds allocated to this trail would be spent on overlaying it. Along the walking trail, he continued, the Department was recommending placing fitness stations with equipment. He showed examples of what said equipment would look like, namely chin-up bars, dip bars, abs stations, and such. He explained that the Big D Sports Park in Ogden had some examples of the concept the Department was interested in implementing. After visiting with the Principal of the local Elementary, Parks & Rec Department Director Flint explained that the principal asked to have buddy benches incorporated. Buddy benches, he added, were meant for kids who did not have a friend nearby. Other children could see that the person could use someone to be with. He added that three other high back benches would be placed throughout the Park. He explained that \$10,000 would be used to upgrade restrooms as well as \$5,000 to paint and remodel of the current bowery. He pointed the Council to the

bottom of the screen showing the two parking lots and explained that they were in poor shape. The funds, he continued, would allow the Department to resurface the parking lots, which would give a fresh new look to the Park. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint concluded by stating that these changes were what the Department believed would be beneficial for the Park. He explained that if the Council had other ideas they wished to explore, the Department would be glad to do so. He continued that his presentation was what the Department recommended because of their own research and observations of what the community used the Park for. He further pointed out that the walking trail came to an end on a specific section, which he showed on his slide. He explained that from that point on, the land belonged to the Weber School District. While the Department wished to be good neighbors, according to the principle of Municipal Law, the land would have a new school built on it. As a result, the Department felt it was not worth investing funds in that section. He continued that the School was looking at doing a fundraising PTA effort to put toy features in their section of the Park. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint turned the time over to the Council.

Councilmember Paul asked whether keeping both parking lots was necessary. He continued that one of the parking lots could be turned into a basketball, tennis, or pickle ball courts. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint explained that the parking lots were not usually full during the week, but that during the weekends they became quite full. He added that the question was whether parking should be built for the highest attendance days or the lowest ones. He explained that building a court there would cost an additional \$130,000 but that it was possible to make that change and that the Department had actually investigated it.

Councilmember Yeoman stated that Parks & Rec Department Director Flint had answered her questions about the trail in his presentation. She continued that he had stated that the school was interested in the buddy benches. She added that this also had been mentioned by the Council. She explained that while such benches were appropriate in any setting, she wondered whether the school children would visit the area where said benches were to be placed. Councilmember Burrell explained that the children did use the playground during recesses. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint added that the children used that section of the Park. He continued that some of the benches would be earmarked to be placed near the school but that others would be spread out throughout the whole walking trail.

Councilmember Yeoman inquired about the age of the playground equipment and asked whether it needed to be improved. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint explained that he needed to make sure about the age of the equipment but believed it to be about 10 years old. He added the equipment was highly functional and was still being used daily. He continued that the space was too small to add anything and that according to playground experts, the space had reached its capacity. Councilmember Yeoman stated that since the school was using the playground for its recess time, if there was a need to improve the equipment, the school should contribute. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint added the department had a great relationship with the School District but that there needed to be a conversation regarding damaged equipment.

Councilmember Tafoya stated that the Park had a significant amount of green space. He asked what it would take to level the Park, so the steep portion could be made to have other uses. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint explained that the drop was significant and would use most of the budget. He added the Department could look at this closely, but that he believed the cost would consume the entirety of the funds. Councilmember Tafoya explained that this ought to be looked at, as it created a huge amount of unused green space. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint explained that if the leveling were accomplished, this would be all that would be done to the Park. He added that the Department had thought it more useful not to level the park, but that this could be readdressed. He explained that from top to bottom, the park had a six-foot slope. He continued that while this was a massive amount of work, where there was a will, there was a way. Councilmember Yeoman stated that this had been one of the

discussions about the Park and why the upgrades had been taken in the direction of adding fitness equipment. The slope, she added, had been one of the reasons that the Park had been made available to other types of activities.

Parks & Rec Department Director Flint stated that the type of equipment offered created a different setting, one unlike any other parks in the City. He continued that all other parks were centered around ball fields. He added this was the main feature of the other parks. The Park discussed here was an exception: the ball fields were not the main feature, the tennis courts were not the main feature, in opposition to a Park like West Park. The Department, he explained, had seen this as a unique perspective to do this. This was the Department's take: it set the park aside from the other parks. After talking to Ogden City about its sports park, he mentioned that they had expressed being satisfied with that space, and that it was a good direction to go into. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint added that the Council needed to decide what would be best for this Park.

Councilmember Paul stated he was glad that Parks & Rec Department Director Flint had mentioned Big D's Sports Park. He asked if anybody in the community would be interested in helping fund such a project. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint stated that he would not be able to say yes at the moment. Councilmember Paul mentioned that Councilmember Saxton was a good salesman. It was then noted that the proposal had been made based on the figures the Council had already allocated to the improvement of the Park. Councilmember Paul explained that a donor could be found to match what the City was giving and could have their name on the Sports space.

Councilmember Tafoya explained that it was good to make improvements to the Park. He added it was a nice neighborhood Park for the nearby community. He continued that the community might like the Park as it was, and might not want more people coming in. He added that when he was a child, the children nearby had football practice there and that all the kids would go watch. He added that times, neighborhood, and people changed and that the Council needed to decide whether they wished the Park to stay a neighborhood park with a few improvements or if they wanted to make it more than it currently was.

Councilmember Saxton explained that he had talked to Parks & Rec Department Director Flint the morning of the meeting. He stated that they had gone over to the park three weeks prior to the meeting. He continued by stating that he lived near the Park, and that he knew that this Park was used as more of a leisure park. He added that the park drew a high number of walkers as well as school children. He continued by stating that he wished the Council could address the playground issue as he believed there was a way to make the playground more accessible to students. He added that he knew of many students going to that school who had challenges and felt that this needed to be addressed. Leveling the Park, he continued, might take away the beauty of what the Park currently was.

Parks & Rec Department Director Flint added the Park was scenic, with many families taking pictures by the trees. He continued that the landscaping was mature and the park picturesque. He added that the Department could look at adding playground elements.

Mayor Dandoy explained that Parks & Rec Department Director Flint had some good ideas and added that the Parks & Rec Department could look at some of the recommendations and come back to the Council one last time. He continued that he believed public input was necessary. He asked whether a town hall could be scheduled to listen to what the community had to contribute. He continued that a good plan could be formulated for the future of the Park beginning next year.

Parks & Rec Department Director Flint explained that Councilmember Saxton had indicated wishing to hold a townhall meeting. He added that this was something the Parks & Rec department would gladly do.

Mayor Dandoy explained that the Council needed some vision and added that some of the comments that had been shared today provided good ideas. After taking these ideas to the public, and if the public was to agree with the direction the Park was being taken in, the funding would be found. Mayor Dandoy continued there was a partnership between the school district and the municipality. He explained that there could be a way to contact the Weber School District Superintendent to ask whether a partnership could be formed for these upgrades. He continued there were benefits to be had for both the school and community members.

Councilmember Burrell asked who used the fitness equipment. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint explained that the Weber State University had talked to 27 individuals to ask what they would want to see. Fitness equipment received a high rating, he explained. Councilmember Burrell asked whether these pieces would be used properly by children, or if they would become a potential for injury. Councilmember Paul added that his wife was the PTA president at West Haven Elementary School. He explained that the School had a fitness section for the older children, namely the fifth and sixth grade sections, to use on the playground. He continued that the addition of fitness equipment should depend on the population the City was going after. The City needed to decide whether it wished to go after a younger population or an older one. He added that the City could find a happy medium or mix between both with fitness equipment for the older crowd, while enhancing the playground. He asked whether only one parking lot could resurfaced in order to put the funds towards something else in the Park. He added that it would be best if the City found a sponsor to help fund a portion of the renovations. He continued that Larry Miller would be a good name on the Park.

Mayor Dandoy explained that there was a mix of individuals utilizing the Park. He stated that in the Summer and Fall, the parks were attended by a lot of young people, which was in part because of the activities and games offered. He continued that a lot of adults took advantage of the walking and therefore, these ideas should not be excluded. He added that the Parks & Rec Department could come up with some ideas and take these ideas to the community.

Councilmember Saxton stated that he had talked with Parks & Rec Department Director Flint that morning and gone to the Park. He added that both young people and adults used the park. He explained that the best option would be to cater to both groups. Parks & Rec Department Director Flint stated that the fitness equipment in question could be designed for a broad scope of people. He continued that the Department could provide the Council with specific examples. Councilmember Tafoya added that the idea of a neighborhood meeting should be pursued.

Salary Survey:

City Manager Jason Poulsen explained that he wished to do a special work session to go over all the details of the topic. He explained that he knew some of the Councilmembers had different opinions of what they wished to be done. He added that there might be a way to incorporate the whole City into this process. He added that the goal was still to do this process mid budget year, and that while there was still time, the process had to be done right. He explained that the work session needed to be scheduled as soon as possible. He stated that Fire Chief Comeau had lost two more firefighters. As a result, City Manager Jason Poulsen emphasized, scheduling the work session within the upcoming four to five days would be ideal. He mentioned that the December 27 or 28, 2018 would be ideal. He added that January 2, 3, or 4, 2019 would also be good dates. He explained that he would like to quickly schedule this meeting.

Mayor Dandoy asked if the Council had any questions or comments. Councilmember Yeoman agreed with City Manager Jason Poulsen in terms of scheduling the work session as soon as possible. She added that many of the Councilmembers had met with Fire Chief Comeau and stated that he had offered a lot of great options. She continued that the Staff salary should also be looked at to come with different plans.

Mayor Dandoy explained that while a work session would work, the issue was that many Councilmembers had existing commitments during the holiday season. He asked whether the Council wished to meet before the New Year, or shortly after. After subsequent deliberation on the matter, the Council settled on Tuesday January 8, 2019. Mayor Dandoy confirmed the date for the work session and the time, which was 5:30 p.m.

3. Affordable Housing

Brynn Mortenson thanked the Council for being given a chance to present. She added she would be respectful of the Council's time as she realized they had had a busy night. She explained that she worked for the Salt Lake Chamber. She continued having grown up in Ogden and feeling great being close to home. She explained that the Salt Lake Chamber represented the broad business community in Utah. She explained that Chamber had over 8,000 members in all counties. All other 74 Chambers of Commerce, she continued, were all ran through the Salt Lake Chamber. For that reason, she added, the Salt Lake Chamber represented the broad business community. She explained that two years prior to the current meeting, business leaders throughout the State had come to the Chamber to voice that their employees were having difficulties finding housing across all markets, not merely low-income housing. The Chamber responded by partnering with the University of Utah and commissioned a study about the rapidly increasing housing prices. The chief economist claimed that this was a landmark study. Mrs. Mortenson continued that it was the first study of its kind in the country, one that looked at the housing market across the state. She continued that the study looked back a generation (26 years), looked at the current generation, and one generation forward. Mrs. Mortenson explained that the study had found that for the first time in 40 years, Utah had more households than housing units. This deficit had climbed to 54,000 units short across the State. The study also found that the median cost of a home across the Wasatch front in 1991, which had been \$125,000, was now worth \$347,000. The national growth rate, she added, would only provide a \$184,000 home. She explained that the Utah housing price index percent change was the fourth fastest on the country. With this rapidly increasing housing prices, she continued, individuals wanted and needed in communities would start being priced out: individuals such as school teachers, firefighters, police officers. If the trend persisted, she added, Utah would become the equivalent of today's Seattle market.

The study, Mrs. Mortenson further explained, showed five components to the housing cost. One such component that she had previously mentioned was the housing shortage. The second component was construction and labor cost. She explained that Utah had a dwindling construction workforce: for every five individuals who left the construction workforce, only one was trained to fill the spot. Other components, she continued, were local zoning ordinances, the "not in my backyard" mentality, and push back from residents. Land cost and topography also played a role, she added. She further explained that in the 1990s, cities were still able to sprawl to counteract the housing shortage but added that the State no longer had that luxury. Lastly, she concluded, Utah was leading the nation in job and population growth in the last ten years. The misconception, she continued, was to accuse individuals from California and Arizona. She added this was but one piece of the puzzle: most of the growth was internal. The Chamber, she explained, as a business community, recognized that all actors had to work together. She explained that the Utah Housing Gap Coalitions was launched and chaired by Steve Starks, the president of the Utah Jazz, and the executive director of Silk and Slopes. She added that having a broad base coalition which brought together local governments, advocacy groups, RNPOs, the Wasatch Front Regional council, etc., to work together was important.

Mrs. Mortenson continued that she was visiting every city council along the Wasatch Front, and that she used Roy as an example of using the Transportation Program through the Wasatch Regional Council. She added that she emphasized recognizing the importance that land use and transportation must play together. She thanked the Roy City Council for being such a great example of this. She explained that as

a business community, the Chamber was trying to address this construction and workforce shortage. She explained having partnered with the “Keys to Success” program, which, she explained, got into local high schools. She added that the focus had been placed on building this program. She added that an app had been launched with over 7,000 students signed on, and 700 more signing up each week. This app, she continued, allowed students to go through and choose which careers they might be interested in. If students selected anything that had to do with construction or trades, they were placed into the Build to Success program. The app, she continued, provided push notifications from the Ogden/Weber Technical College about different courses and certificates offered. She added that students would be invited to an Open House in the Spring, where they could meet with contractors working in the field and receive Summer internships to get both the education and the experience. She explained that this program was an attempt to rebuild the diminished workforce. After four years, she continued, a journeyman plumber made a six-figure income. These jobs were great jobs, she argued, but students were not always made aware of them.

Lastly, Mrs. Mortenson explained, the Chamber was launching a public awareness campaign in January, 2019. She stated that the Chamber had partnered with Wasatch Front Regional Council and dove into public sentiment around growth. What had been found after surveying 2,200 respondents, she argued, was that the most important issue in the area was housing affordability. Individuals wanted their community to stay the way it was when they first chose to live there. The transportation and Mixed-Use plan, however, helped them better understand what could be done. When housing is tied to facilitating economic growth, she explained, when it addressed skyrocketing trends, when it supported different life stages such as when people move into the market or when they downsized, when it provided options for children and grandchildren, it was easier for the citizenry to understand the importance of planning for the future.

Mrs. Mortenson added that the population growth was happening and that it was set to double during the next 50 years. If not planned properly, Utahans would lose their quality of life. By planning appropriately, she continued, people would be successful. As she had mentioned earlier, she reminded the Council that she had visited a number of city councils. She added that she presented calls to actions to the city councils she visited. She explained that Roy was a great city to visit as it was doing so well with the tools provided. To break down the silos, she explained, policy decisions needed to be made that would connect transportation, and land use. She continued that it was important for cities to update general plans and moderate-income plans was also important. She added that Roy had done a few moderate-income plans in the year. She continued that cities needed to be innovative about redevelopment, such as breathing new life into old business parks, or old retail sites, or old homes. Providing different types of housing options was also essential to assist through all stages of life. Finally, she added, the Chamber had a very vanilla resolution for cities to agree to. The resolution, she continued stated that cities recognized there was a housing shortage and were committed to doing their part. She asked that Roy City sign onto this resolution to show the broad support to the Chamber. She continued that the plan needed to be worked together to keep Utah the best place to live, work, and do business. Mrs. Mortenson provided the Council with her contact information and told council members to feel free to reach out to her. She added that the Chamber was active on Twitter. She explained that she was here to answer any questions and listen to any ideas the Council might have about ways to better support the business community.

Mayor Dandoy thanked Mrs. Mortenson. He asked whether the Council had any questions or comments. There were none.

Mayor Dandoy explained that Roy had recently issued a contract in partnership with Wasatch Regional Council to begin looking at a general plan amendment. He added this would be taking place over the next few months.

G. Reports and Discussion

1. City Manager Report

Mr. Poulsen reported on the following:

- Reminder to the Council of the December 19, 2018, event at 6:00 p.m.

2. Mayor and Council Report

Councilmember Paul stated that he wished to bring up a discussion about the parking issue. He explained that the concerns he had heard before the change in ordinance was that citizens did not like the restrictions of not being able to park on the street. He added that it did not snow as much now as used to, therefore forcing cars not to park on the street at all was unpopular. The new ordinance, he added, was designed to open a possibility for parking and allow people to park sometimes. He explained that there were situations when snow would begin in the middle of the day and people were parked. He added not knowing what a good resolution would be for this. Overall, he continued, the Council used to cover a broad area where people used to not be able to park and had made it much smaller with the new resolution. He explained that the Council now had a different situation. As Chief Merino had stated, he argued that the situation would create complaints. He continued that as written, the new ordinance opened up more parking and was less restrictive.

Councilmember Burrell explained that prior to the new ordinance, she could not park in front of her home regardless of whether it was snowing or not. Councilmember Paul asked whether she was parked there during the night, to which she responded affirmatively. Councilmember Paul asked whether a time clause should be added to the ordinance. Councilmember Tafoya explained that there was no reason to change the ordinance: streets were also plowed during the day.

It was noted that the Police had discretion about whether to issue tickets. If there were unique circumstances, the Police Officer could do whatever was appropriate which might mean dismissing a ticket altogether. Councilmember Saxton asked whether Mr. Hoellein had been given a ticket, and the answer he was given was no. Mr. Hoellein explained that he understood the purpose of the law, but that the ordinance was too broad. This was why he had called several neighboring communities to ask how they went about their own parking ordinances. He explained that Roy could become a model city for the community. He continued that one of the things that came back to him was that the ordinance had been written for irresponsible citizens. He explained that responsible citizens should not be treated in the same manner as irresponsible ones.

Councilmember Paul asked whether the prosecutor had had any citizen come to complain about tickets. The prosecutor stated that once the ordinance was voted, the Staff had fielded about 30 phone calls regarding the ordinance. He explained that none of the calls were polite conversations. He added having had about seven conversations with citizens himself, none of which were polite either. He continued that there were some individuals who presented legitimate issues. In these cases, he added, he recommended they handle their issue through the normal channels. The prosecutor added he was still dealing with the situation, but also pointed that he had dealt with such issues since the beginning of his career. He explained that parking tickets could be reduced or annulled.

Councilmember Tafoya explained there was a process to follow and that discretion could be used. Councilmember Yeoman commented that common sense had to come into play. Ordinances were put in motion to be followed but, she continued, along with that, common sense played a role. She understood that not being able to park on the street when snow was coming down might be challenging and added

that it might be hard for the Police Fore to determine if a parked car should be granted an exception. She continued that some individuals would receive parking tickets.

Councilmember Burrell stated that a ticket could be argued at the court level. Councilmember Paul added when he had an event at his house and snow began to fall, the guest would move their vehicles and carpool back to the house. He stated knowing what it was like to try to plow around vehicles whether it be night time or day time. Finding ways to work with this issue, he continued, was challenging. He stated that the current ordinance was less restrictive. He explained that there would be borderline situations where the police officers would need to use their best judgement. He continued that citizens might have to petition for a ticket to be annulled as the City had a judicial system that could take care of such issues.

Councilmember Saxton explained that this change had personally cost him two good spaces. He said he doubted there would ever be a happy compromise, but that people should be grateful for the police's work.

Mayor Dandoy explained he was sensing two fundamental issues: one was the application of the ordinance, the other the application of common sense. He added that that question was whether to grant common sense to the Police Force or to let tickets go to the courts. Otherwise, he continued, the ordinance would stand as it was. He added that the Council needed to decide whether a component of common sense could be added, or whether the ordinance should be left as it was. He asked the Council whether it wished to take another cursory look at the ordinance.

Councilmember Tafoya stated that the ordinance should be left as it was. Mayor Dandoy asked if any Councilmember felt otherwise and explained that nothing would be done about the ordinance until January of February 2019, as it would take a resolution to change the ordinance. Councilmember Tafoya added that a delay had to be observed after posting for the public the planned discussion. Councilmember Burrell stated she was not sure the Police Department could predict if a car was parked legitimately or not. Councilmember Paul added that the process should be black and white for the PD but should then be sorted out in the courts.

Staff explained that it had taken a lot of time to put this ordinance together. After this research, this ordinance was what the Council had believed was the best course of action. Councilmember Tafoya explained that the decision had been made and the Council should stick to it. A complaint or three, he continued, should not call for a new ordinance to be adopted. If someone felt they had unjustly been ticketed, he added, they could take their concerns to court: this was the law.

Mayor Dandoy explained that the Chief had made a profound statement: it was not the officers' jobs to decide which parked car was justified and which was not. The police officers were supposed to enforce the law as written. Councilmember Paul stated that the judicial system should handle the tickets as they probably needed more work. Mayor Dandoy asked if the Council wished to leave the ordinance as it was. The Council agreed.

Mayor Dandoy asked if the Council had any other items they wished to discuss.

Mayor Dandoy stated needing City Planner Parkinson's help: a letter had come to the Council suggesting that the Affordable Housing sheet should be delivered to the State. City Planner Parkinson stated the sheet had already been delivered to the State. Mayor Dandoy explained that the letter had been delivered to the Council, and that the Council was held responsible to make sure that the City stood by its commitment. He added having seen the sheet, which he had found great, and, if it met the requirements, he was satisfied. He added this was the first time in 13 years that Roy City met the requirements. Mayor

Dandoy thanked City Planner Parkinson for his work. He added that the City should ask for some help from the Weber State students.

Mayor Dandoy stated wishing to make two comments. He explained that City Manager Poulsen would take a list of accomplishments that the City had been able to reach in the 2018 calendar year and put them in the January magazine. Mayor Dandoy stated he wanted to make sure that everything was included. He explained that Roy had had an incredible year. Big items such as the annexation and the magazine could be listed but that there were a lot of other great things as well. He asked that, when looking at the list City Manager Poulsen had compiled, the Council should reflect on all the great accomplishments from City leaders and the Council. He explained that sometimes the Council was criticized for making certain decisions. He added that some decisions were difficult to make and overall unpopular but, he continued, this Council had stepped up in the leadership of the City and moved things forward. Things that were difficult to look at had been addressed, he argued, and things that needed to get done were handled. Mayor Dandoy continued that while some tweaks had taken place here and there, some great things had happened. He added that the City had an audit that showed that the City was perfection in motion. He emphasized that tax payers should know that their money was handled responsibly and that the Staff made sure that the City was financially sound. He added feeling proud that the City accomplished what was near impossible in terms of the great work of its leadership. He pointed that the audit went very well and should not be forgotten. Mayor Dandoy further added that with all the things he had heard throughout the meeting, he knew that great things were happening. He asked that City Manager Poulsen help share that. He continued that the City had had its challenges but wanted to be clear that when the Council had to step up and make decisions, it had made tough decisions and got things done. He concluded by stating he hoped that the list City Manager Poulsen would compile would help show that. Mayor Dandoy stated being very proud. He wished the Council and the Staff happy holidays.

Councilmember Paul stated that none of the hard decisions made had been made in a vacuum: they were all researched: the Staff did its work, as did the Council. He added that clarifying the naming of parks in the City and making sure each park had its correct name would be helpful. He explained that the City Council building should also have its name, as he had heard it called different things. He asked if the building had a real name, and it was noted that this was brought to the Staff's attention. Councilmember Yeoman explained she did not think the name of the building had ever been addressed. City Recorder Langholf stated there was no document stating the name of the area. Councilmember Paul asked whether the Council wished to name the building. Mayor Dandoy stated that the decision was up to City Manager Poulsen.

Mayor continued that research had been done about the history behind the naming of parks and that he had found it fascinating. He recommended that the Council read said history to find out how that park came into being. He stated leaving the decision to take the naming beyond to the Council but added that the history of the area should be given to the public, and that it consisted of a heartwarming story. Councilmember Paul stated that the name could have two parts. He pointed to another park and asked if the Council had the correct names for all the parks.

It was then noted that all of the park name changes had been updated on the City's website. Additionally, Roy Park Monument was being redone. There was further discussion pertaining to naming parks after people. Councilmember Paul asked whether Meadow Creek was a subdivision. It was noted that the area in question was along the park named McCall Fields: the City had not bestowed a name upon the park, but the Park had been named after the subdivision.

Councilmember Saxton stated that there was a woman with the last name of Sparrow who had contacted him regarding Sits Pond. He explained that she remembered planting a tree there and had offered to buy a teddy bear to put on the tree that still stood the following year. At some point in time, he continued, there

had to have been some reference to Sits Pond, short of a dedication. He stated that he would not have otherwise found what he had. Staff indicated they would do some research on the status of the monument.

Mayor Dandoy stated that if the Staff had a recommendation, they should make it so the resolution could be done.

3. YCC Decision

City Manager Poulsen stated that he and Chief Merino met with the YCC two days after the last Council meeting, as they had been directed. The conversation, he explained was well received. As a result, the YCC was making changes on their side. He had told the YCC that he would bring the results of the meeting back to the Council. He continued that Chief Merino also felt satisfied. The next step was for the Council to make a decision.

Mayor Dandoy asked of the Council had any comments.

Councilmember Tafoya explained that he believed the YCC should be granted the \$8,000. Councilmember Yeoman agreed.

Councilmember Saxton asked whether this amount had already been budgeted. City Manager Poulsen explained that an amount in excess of \$8,000 had been budgeted. He added the amount had been set aside for several possible donations and concluded that the funds were available. Councilmember Burrell asked whether other cities were also contributing. City Manager Poulsen stated other cities were not contributing. He mentioned that Roy had contributed \$8,000 in 2017. Councilmember Paul stated that there had been concerns that the Council was spending public tax dollars as a charity.

The comment was made that Roy contributed to the YCC expenses as a City. The police sometimes took individuals to the YCC and that the YCC was taking care of some of Roy citizens. If the YCC helped Roy citizens that were facing adverse situations, the City ought to help fund the organization.

Councilmember Yeoman explained that the YCC was a Weber County-wide organization. Councilmember Saxton asked why the amount had been dropped from \$16,000 to \$8,000. City Manager Poulsen explained that the latter amount was much more feasible for the City. Councilmember Burrell confirmed that the amount had been changed in 2017.

Mayor Dandoy asked whether the Council, taking into consideration the conversation had, would be able to approve the sum granted to the YCC. The Council stated they were. The process would therefore be started administratively.

4. City Manager Job Description

Mayor Dandoy stated that after the process of interviews to replace the City Manager had been started, there had been some questions about the associated job description. He added that he had sent a list of recommendations to the Council. Mayor Dandoy asked of the Council had comments on how to improve the job description, and the process.

Councilmember Paul proposed agreeing to the changes. Councilmember Yeoman agreed. Councilmember Paul stated the job description was more aligned with the job announcement: both documents would now be aligned. The addition of "or", he added, gave the option to choose what should be prioritized. There was subsequent discussion pertaining to the minimum education requirements of the

position. Further adjustments were discussion, and Mayor Dandoy explained that the adjustments would be added to the text.

H. Adjournment

Councilmember Paul Motioned to Adjourn the City Council meeting at 7:45 p.m. Councilmember Saxton seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “Aye.” The motion carried.

Robert Dandoy
Mayor

Attest:

Morgan Langholf
City Recorder