



Minutes of the Roy City Council Meeting held in the City Council Chambers of the Roy City Municipal Building on November 19, 2019 at 5:30 p.m.

Notice of the meeting was posted on the Utah Public Notice Website at least 24 hours in advance. A copy of the agenda was posted.

The following members were in attendance:

Mayor Robert Dandoy
Councilmember Burrell
Councilmember Paul
Councilmember Saxton
Councilmember Yeoman

City Manager, Matt Andrews
City Attorney, Andy Blackburn

Also present were: Management Services Director, Camille Cook; Police Chief, Carl Merino; Fire Chief, Jeff Comeau; Parks and Recreation Director, Travis Flint; Public Works Director, Ross Oliver; City Recorder, Morgan Langholf; Brittnee Clippinger, Dennis Breeze Jr. Altina Stott, Stephanie Dickson, James Dickson, Ryan Cowley, Glenda Moore, Miranda Raisten, Brandy Allen, Rodger Thomas, Ray & Haxlen Page, Jerry Williams, Stacy O'Toole, Stehpanie Sparrow, Tabitha & Ryder, Kade Stander, Heather Young, Aolbyn Kueharska, Lindsey call, Becky Wilberg, Greg Seegmiller, Austin Neumarker, Brock Hokanson, Canter Winget, Shantell Bravo, Julie Bischoff, Samuel Bischoff, Robert Percival, Jeanine & Grayle Humphrey, Sally Garner, Bill merx, Charles Mueller, Darrell Oleson, Lorin Parks

A. Welcome & Roll Call

Mayor Dandoy welcomed those in attendance and noted Councilmembers Burrell, Paul, Saxton, and Yeoman were present.

B. Moment of Silence

Councilmember Yeoman invited the audience to observe a moment of silence.

C. Pledge of Allegiance

Councilmember Burrell invited Troop 342 to lead the audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

D. Consent Items

(These items are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by a single motion. If discussion is desired on any particular consent item, that item may be removed from the consent agenda and considered separately.)

1. Approval of the October 1 and October 15, 2019 City Council Minutes

Councilmember Paul moved that the City Council APPROVE the October 1 and October 15, 2019 City Council Minutes. Councilmember Burrell seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

E. Presentations

1. Presentation of the Fiscal Year 2019 Audit Report – Altina Stott with Wiggins and Co.

Altina Stott, of Wiggins and Company, gave the office address as 5327 S. Adams Ave. in Ogden. She presented the 2019 Fiscal Year Audit Report as of June 30, 2019. In their opinion, the financial statements were fairly presented with no material misstatements. Roy City was in good standing with more assets than debt. The General Fund balance was increased by about \$1 million from the previous fiscal year. The report also included their opinion on internal controls and compliance.

F. Action Items

1. Resolution 19-29 Honoring the Mighty Mites Football Team for their 2019 Season

Parks & Recreation Director Travis Flint presented this resolution to the Council, and spoke about the team members and their coaches. They represented Roy City well, and the City wanted to acknowledge their accomplishments.

Mayor Dandoy read the Resolution, including the team players names.

Councilmember Paul moved that the City Council APPROVE Resolution 19-29 honoring the Mighty Mites for their 2019 Season. Councilmember Yoeman seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

2. Consideration of Resolution No. 19-28 Adopting changes to the Personnel Policy

Management Services Director Camille Cook presented the proposed changes to the Personnel Policy, as outlined in the Resolution. Over the past few month, the department directors, City Attorney, and City Manager had met regularly to review the Personnel Policy and come together on the recommended changes. Most of the changes were general cleanup of the language to reflect current standards, practices, and State and Federal Statutes. There was one additional change that wasn't in the staff report, and that change was outlined in the handout given to the Council at the beginning of the meeting. She requested that this change be included in the motion.

Councilmember Yeoman moved that the City Council APPROVE Resolution No. 19-28 Adopting changes to the Personnel Policy, as written, and including the information that was changed and amended in the handout provided by staff. Councilmember Burrell seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

3. Consideration of Resolution No. 19-30 Adopting an Interlocal Agreement for Mutual Aid Fire Protection Agreement between Roy City and North Davis Fire District

Fire Chief Jeff Comeau explained that there was an old agreement in place between Roy City and North Davis Fire District. The new agreement would basically bring the City in line with what was being done in other mutual aid agreements with other entities in the County.

Councilmember Burrell moved that the City Council APPROVE Resolution No. 19-30 adopting an Interlocal Agreement for Mutual Aid Fire Protection between Roy City and North Davis Fire District. Councilmember Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, and all Councilmembers voted “Aye”. The motion carried.

4. Consideration of Resolution No. 19-31 Approving an Interlocal Agreement with North Davis Fire for the Billing and Collection of Fees for Paramedic Services Rendered during Ambulance Transport

Fire Chief Jeff Comeau stated that the proposed agreement is the same one that North Davis Fire had with Weber County. This would clean up the existing language, and outlines fees for paramedic services with North Davis Fire.

Councilmember Paul moved that the City Council APPROVE Resolution No. 19-31 approving an Interlocal Agreement with North Davis Fire for the Billing and Collection of Fees for Paramedic Services Rendered during Ambulance Transport. Councilmember Yeoman seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

5. Consideration of Resolution No. 19-32 adopting 2020 Roy City Council meeting dates

City Manager Matt Andrews stated that the State required the City to adopt a meeting schedule for the year. The City Council meetings would continue to take place on the first and third Tuesday of every month at 5:30 p.m. in this building.

Councilmember Yeoman moved that the City Council APPROVE Resolution No. 19-32 approving the 2020 Roy City Council Meeting Dates Schedule. Councilmember Burrell seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

6. Consideration of Resolution No. 19-27 Creating Voter Participation Areas

Weber County Elections Officer Ryan Cowley explained that House Bill 109, which was recently passed, required all municipalities to create voter participation areas for the purpose of gathering signatures for referendums. This requirement would address the concern of one small area of a City making decisions for the whole. Under the new regulations, groups would need to gather a certain percentage of signatures from each Voter Participation Area to meet petition requirements. The Voter Participation Areas needed to be contiguous, which made it difficult to create areas that were equal in population. Mr. Cowley presented a map depicting the proposed Voter Participation Areas.

Councilmember Yeoman moved that the City Council APPROVE Resolution No. 19-27 creating Voter Participation Areas, as presented. Councilmember Paul seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

7. Consideration of Resolution No. 19-33 Approving a Job Description for Recreation Office Clerk Supervisor

Parks & Recreation Director Travis Flint explained that the proposed Supervisor position would be funded from the current Parks and Recreation budget, and they were not requesting an increase. They hoped that this position would actually alleviate some overtime and other costs. He explained the duties of the position and confirmed that they would be working 12-15 hours per week.

Councilmember Paul moved to APPROVE Resolution No. 19-33 approving a job description for Recreation Office Clerk Supervisor. Councilmember Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

8. Consideration of Resolution No. 19-34 approving a contract for the Demolition of the MOWEDA building with Perez Company

Public Works Director Ross Oliver presented the proposed contract with Perez Company for the demolition of the MOWEDA building. The contract was in the amount of \$119,687. He confirmed that the contract included asbestos remediation.

Councilmember Yeoman moved that the City Council APPROVE Resolution No. 19-34 awarding a contract for the Demolition of the MOWEDA building to the Perez Company. Councilmember Burrell seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

9. PUBLIC HEARING: Ordinance 19-16 to amend Roy City General Plan, Chapter 6, Residential Development; Goal 3- Future Moderate Income (Affordable) Housing Needs

City Planner Steve Parkinson presented the proposed changes to Chapter 6 of the Roy City General Plan regarding affordable housing. The amendments would bring the General Plan into compliance with State Statute and Senate Bill 34. That bill provided a list of 23 strategies that municipalities could choose from to include in their general plans. Each community was to incorporate three strategies, and any community with a fixed rail station was required to choose a fourth strategy. The Planning Commission reviewed the list and was certain that Roy City could easily incorporate ten of the items listed. During the public hearing, two individuals made comments, and those comments were included in the staff report.

Mayor Dandoy noted that if the City didn't come into compliance with at least four of these items, the State could withhold transportation investment funds.

Councilmember Yeoman moved to go into Public Hearing regarding Ordinance 19-16. Councilmember Paul Seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

There were no Public Comments.

Councilmember Yeoman moved to go out of Public Hearing regarding Ordinance 19-16. Councilmember Burrell seconded the motion. All councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

There was no further discussion.

Councilmember Paul moved that the City Council APPROVE Ordinance 19-16 to amend the Roy City General Plan, Chapter 6, Residential Development; Goal 3- Future Moderate Income (affordable) housing needs. Councilmember Yeoman seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

10. Approval of the 2019 General Election Canvas

City Recorder Morgan Langholf presented the results of the 2019 General Election Canvas. There were 15,186 registered voters in Roy City, and 5,419 ballots were returned. 301 ballots were returned as undeliverable. Of the ballots received, 55 were unable to be counted because they were submitted past the deadline, unsigned, or the signature did not match county records. The results were as follows:

- Ann Jackson – 3,090 votes
- Diane Wilson – 2,948 votes
- Joel Paul – 2,945 votes
- Trina Favaro – 2,098 votes
- Dave Tafoya – 1,695 votes
- Braden Stander – 1,585 votes

Ann Jackson, Diane Wilson, and Joel Paul were elected as new City Council Members for the 2020-2024 term.

Council Member Saxton asked if those numbers were similar to previous elections. Ms. Langholf said that voter turnout was approximately 32% for the primary election, and 35% for the general election this year. Two years ago, the turnout for the general election was 39%, but the mayoral race typically drew a larger turnout.

Council Member Paul moved that the City Council APPROVE the results of the 2019 General Election Canvas. Council Member Burrell seconded the motion. The motion passed with unanimous consent of the Council.

G. Public Comments

Mayor Dandoy opened floor for public comments.

Jerry Williams, 5663 South 3600 West, asked why the City had installed speed bumps instead of speed humps on 5700 South, as discussed.

Manager Andrews said that the speed humps that were installed were much more aggressive than they had intended, especially the hump closest to 3500 West. Earlier that day, staff went out and tried to mill that hump down. Because it's made of asphalt, the hump should continue to settle. If the humps remain aggressive, the City would tear them out and redo them.

Mr. Williams expressed his frustration with the City not doing what they said that they would. During the discussion about these humps, Mayor Dandoy, the Council Members, and staff could all be quoted as saying "speed humps" rather than "speed bumps", and yet the City installed speed bumps. Speed bumps were in violation of UDOT and the Federal Highway Administration regulations. It was unfair for the City to redo this mistake at the expense of the taxpayers.

Mayor Dandoy agreed with Mr. Williams' statements. He would personally look into the matter and call Mr. Williams to discuss how the problem would be fixed.

There were no further public comments. Mayor Dandoy closed the floor for public comments.

H. Reports and Discussion

1. City Manager Report

Mr. Andrews reported on the following:

- November 25th would be the Tree Lighting Ceremony beginning at 4:30 p.m. The lights would turn on at 5:30 p.m.
- November 27th would be a senior dinner at the Hope Center
- December 11th would be the Christmas Dinner at the Hope Center

2. Mayor and Council Report

Council Member Saxton thanked staff for the new signage on 5900 South. Last week, Council Member Saxton had a speaking engagement with some park recreation consultants. These consultants drew up some concepts that they felt would work in Roy City, and they requested that the concepts be given to Travis Flint.

Council Member Paul moved to RECESS the City Council meeting and enter into an Redevelopment

Agency (RDA) Board meeting at 6:32 p.m. Council Member Saxton seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

Council Member Paul moved to reconvene as the Roy City Council at 8:20 p.m. Council Member Saxton seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

F. Action Items

11. **Ordinance 19-17** Adopting an Official plan for the 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area, as approved by the Redevelopment Agency of Roy

Randy Sant explained that the ordinance before the Council, if adopted, would state that the City concurred with the recommendations of the RDA, based on the public hearing that the RDA held tonight. The ordinance would also accept the proposed project area plans for these two areas, and it would authorize the RODA to move forward in pursuing the CRAs.

Council Member Burrell noted that she was still recusing herself from voting on this item.

Council Member Paul moved that the City Council ADOPT Ordinance 19-17, adopting an official plan for the 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area, as approved by the Redevelopment Agency of Roy. Council Member Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

12. **Ordinance 19-18** Adopting an official plan for the 1900 Southwest Community Reinvestment Project Area, as approved by the Redevelopment Agency of Roy

Council Member Paul moved that the City Council ADOPT Ordinance 19-18, adopting an official plan for the 1900 Southwest Community Reinvestment Project Area, as approved by the Redevelopment Agency of Roy. Council Member Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

I. Adjournment

Councilmember Paul moved to Adjourn the City Council meeting at 8:25 p.m. Councilmember Burrell seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

Robert Dandoy
Mayor

Attest:

Morgan Langholf
City Recorder

dc:

**ROY CITY RDA BOARD MEETING AGENDA
NOVEMBER 19, 2019 – 6:00 P.M.
ROY CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS – 5051 SOUTH 1900 WEST**

A. Welcome & Roll Call

Chair Dandoy called the meeting to order and welcomed those in attendance.

B. Consent Items

1. Approval of the September 17 and October 1, 2019 Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes

Board Member Yeoman moved to APPROVE the September 17 and October 1, 2019 Redevelopment Agency Meeting minutes. Board Member Saxton seconded the motion. All Board Members voted “aye”. The motion carried.

D. Action Items

1. **PUBLIC HEARING** to consider project area plan and budget for the 1900 Southwest Community Reinvestment Project Area
 - a. **Resolution 19-5** approving and adopting the 1900 Southwest Community Reinvestment Project Area Plan.
 - b. **Resolution 19-6** approving and adopting the 1900 Southwest Community Reinvestment Project Area Plan Budget.

Randy Sant stated that there were some procedural items they needed to do when creating a Community Reinvestment Area (CRA). The first items was to state on the public record that the Redevelopment Law required them to send notices out to property owners and taxing entities within the proposed CRA. Those notices were sent out for both of these proposed project areas 30 days in advance of this public hearing. Notices were mail based upon the addresses on Weber County Records. Staff received notices back as undeliverable from the following: Hart, LLC; 545 Investments; Shirley P. Ohlessen; and SHP. In addition to mailed notices, they were required to post notices to the Roy City website and the Utah Public Notice website, which was done 14 days in advance of this public hearing. Property owners were welcome to speak with the RDA Board prior to this meeting, and they met with or spoke to Doug Painter, Sally Gardner, Aaron Eames, and Gene Humphries.

Mr. Sant said that the RDA desired to create two CRA's, basically on the east and west side of 1900 West. There would be a public hearing for each CRA tonight. Under State Law, RDAs are a creature of the State. The State gives this body the authority to act as the RDA. That law also allows Utah local governments to conduct economic development activities within communities through CRAs. The City Council was required to serve as the Board of Directors, and the Mayor as Chair. However, the City Council and the RDA were separate entities with separate funding. The RDA had to go through a 17-step process to create a CRA, and tonight's actions were steps seven and eight.

Currently, these potential project areas were creating an assessed value, and that value was paying a certain amount of property tax. That property tax went to different taxing entities. In a redevelopment area, if new development causes an increase in value and property tax, anything over and above the base level would go to the RDA. Those funds could be used to aid existing businesses or new development within the defined project area, as well as building infrastructure, housing, and crime reduction efforts. The various taxing

entities and property owners have to agree to the creation of the CRA. In May 2018, the RDA passed a resolution designating a survey area. That area was reviewed and they were able to prepare a budget and plan based on that information.

Mr. Sant presented a map depicting the proposed Southwest CRA and noted that the Marketplace was excluded from the CRA. He reiterated that these areas were defined with potential development in mind, and the budget was simply a proposal. The budget would have to be adopted, but it could be amended as development occurred within the project area. He stressed that there were no proposed projects for this area yet. They wanted to create these CRAs so that they would be ready if and when the opportunity arrives. Based on the information they had, it was estimated that the value of the area could increase from \$20 million to \$56 million as development occurs within the area. The RDA would be asking the participating taxing entities for 70% of that increased tax revenue for a 20 year time period. Mr. Sant then presented a chart showing how much of the current \$20 million was going to the various taxing entities. Roy City was getting about \$981,000 over a twenty-year period. If the RDA was approved, the taxing entities would still receive a 30% increase under this proposal.

Mr. Sant said that the question they get from most property owners is how the RDA would affect their property. He answered that question by stating that the RDA would not affect their properties at all. The RDA did not levy a tax, and they did not have the power of eminent domain. If development were to occur on properties within the CRA, the developer would have to work with the property owners for the purchase of their property. The RDA would only be involved if the developer requested some help with funding. This was a property-driven process. Owners had the right to develop or sell their properties as they pleased. The RDA was simply an economic development tool that a developer or property owner had the option to use. Development needs to happen in order for the RDA to receive tax increment funds. If development doesn't occur, there would be no tax increment.

Board Member Yeoman moved to go into Public Hearing for the Southwest CRA. Board Member Paul seconded the motion. All Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

Chair Dandoy noted that Mr. Sant would be taking down any questions posed during the public hearing and answer them afterward.

Charles Maughan, owner of the 5533 South building, had strong concerns with programs like this. They rarely went as intended, and he was not in favor of taking the risk.

Bill Murks, 5705 South 2325 West, asked for clarification on the boundaries of the Southwest Area, as the map was too small to see. Mr. Sant verbally described the project area, noting that two residential homes were included in this CRA. Mr. Murks said that it was not likely that anyone would consider building a hotel or residential development in this area, as it was the most congested area of Roy City.

Stacy O'Toole, 5496 South 2000 West, said that she owned one of the residential homes here. She previously had a conversation with the Board and was assured that they would not raise her taxes or take her house. But now this plan shows a hotel on the corner where her home is now. She asked when this project was planned to take place.

Chair Dandoy said that the CRA would run twenty years, but that didn't mean that the money was saved for twenty years. Those funds could be used at any point during that time frame. Those funds were used to help property owners invest in their properties and enhance the area. The RDA will not and cannot take those residential homes. The intention of the CRA was to incentivize development within the area.

Tim Higgs, 5381 South 3400 West, was most interested in understanding if 5600 South would be capable

of handling increased development in the area. It would be foolish to develop here until after the roadway was widened. They needed to understand what was happening with that roadway before any development occurred.

Chair Dandoy said that 5600 South was a UDOT road, and they were currently doing an environmental assessment of the road. They anticipated that study to be released to the public very soon. It was his understanding that 5600 South would be widened to a five-lane road with a bridge going over the tracks. The City was also aware of new commercial and residential developments taking place near and around Hill Airforce Base, which would have an impact on Roy City. There were a lot of moving parts to consider in this area.

Mr. Higgs commented that with all the additional congestion they anticipate from the CRA, it would make sense to avoid a commitment to the RDA process until the traffic issues were resolved.

Chair Dandoy said that developers typically look at traffic counts and rooftops to determine potential commercial projects. The City understands the challenge here, but there was also opportunity. No matter what comes out of this today, it was likely that they wouldn't see any changes to these areas for a couple years. He reiterated that there had been no projects submitted for these areas yet.

There were no further public comments.

Mr. Sant addressed the questions raised by the property owners. He again emphasized that the RDA did not have the power of eminent domain. They would also not be forcing anyone to develop their property. The property owner had every right to sell, develop, or maintain their property. He clarified that the budget was not based on any upcoming projects, developers, or application. The hotel was something they saw as a possibility, but there was nothing to suggest that would happen at this point. The RDA did not have a planning process; that we left entirely to the City, and the City planning process included traffic studies and other analysis for developments. Chair Dandoy was correct in saying that they likely wouldn't see any development here for a few years.

Board Member Paul moved to go out of Public Hearing for the Southwest CRA. Board Member Saxton seconded the motion. All Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

Board Member Paul reiterated that the City understood the existing and potential traffic concerns. Any development that wants to come into the area will have to address that. If they start this CRA now, they could start collection tax increment to help plan for the future.

Chair Dandoy said that the biggest challenge that Roy City faces was how to sustain the quality of life without increasing property tax. The only way to do that was to start generating sales tax revenue.

Before voting on the Southwest CRA, the Board chose to hold the public hearing on the Southeast CRA.

Board Member Paul moved to go into Public Hearing for the Southeast CRA. Board Member Yeoman seconded the motion. All Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

Darrell Olsen, 5260 South 2050 West, said that he was the overseer of the property from Walgreens to the Marketplace. He said that his property had no vacancies. He was concerned about traffic and requested and any new information about the roadways be shared with the property owners.

Tim Higgs, 5381 South 3400 West, asked why Harmons and the Marketplace were excluded from the Southeast CRA. He asked if businesses were able to opt out of the CRA.

There were no further public comments.

Mr. Sant explained that the Marketplace had been part of a redevelopment project area already. They had met with Harmons, and they found no reason to include them in the new CRA. He reminded the citizens that a property owner or business owner did not have to participate in the CRA if they didn't want to.

Board Member Saxton moved to go out of Public Hearing for the Southeast CRA. Board Member Paul seconded the motion. All Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

Board Member Saxton said that it didn't seem that the people present were comfortable with the proposal yet. He asked if there was any way that the Board could sit down with the property owners and find out what their concerns were. He felt that everyone needed to feel a little safer before they moved forward. He said that if the City didn't do something economically, a huge burden would be put on the taxpayers. This was the safest and easiest way to incentivize economic development.

Board Member Saxton moved to reopen the Public Hearing. Board Member Yeoman seconded the motion. All Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

Lisa Vehim, a business owner at 5533 South, was upset that the Board assumed her business was not long-term, and she was not okay with the City shutting down her small insurance agency to make way for a hotel.

Mr. Sant again stated that the RDA was not shutting down anything. The RDS had not right, power, or authority to take eminent domain. Other agencies, such as UDOT or the State, had such power; but the City and the RDA would not. If the property owners or business owner didn't want to participate, they would not be forced to. If they create the CRA and no development occurs, then no tax increment would be collected. He also stated that they were in the middle of the process of creating these CRAs. The decisions made tonight were not the final step.

Jade O'Toole, a resident, said that one of the problems was that the City knew more about this than the citizens. She thought that they were "selling smoke". She wanted a guarantee that her home would not be taken by the City or the State. She recently did an entire remodel on her home, and she had a disability that would make leaving her home extremely difficult. Just because she small didn't mean she wasn't important.

Chair Dandoy thanked Ms. O'Toole for her comments. He stated that trust needed to be earned, and there was a reason that citizens didn't trust governmental bodies. The City needed to prove that they could be trusted. He could not guarantee that her home would never be taken. The purpose of creating these CRAs was to provide a tool for economic development to help pay for the demands that the City already had. They did not want to increase property taxes.

Board Member Paul commented that her home was likely safe from being taken. It was not close to a state road to be consider as part of a widening project, and the City would not take her home. Although he could not tell the future, it didn't seem logical that her home would be lost. The CRA would not take her home, and she did not have to participate if she didn't want to.

Board Member Yeoman said that the Board didn't have to approve this, and everything would remain the same. A developer could still approach the property owners about potential projects. The CRA would set up nothing but the potential for some additional revenue.

In response to a question from Mr. Higgs, the Board discussed the possible reasons why businesses weren't coming into Roy City. Mayor Dandoy commented that other communities that had utilized RDAs had

found a lot of success. They also discussed the possibility of changing the boundaries of the CRA so that they didn't include the residential homes. Mr. Sant suggested that it would be easier to adjust the boundaries now rather than waiting until after the boundaries were approved.

Board Member Paul moved to go out of Public Hearing. Board Member Saxton seconded the motion. All Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

Board Member Burrell stated that she had a conflict of interest because she sat on the board of a taxing entity. She would be recused herself from the vote.

The Board discussed the next step in the process of creating the CRAs. If the taxing entities didn't agree to participate, the actions of tonight would be dissolved.

Board Member Paul moved to APPROVE Resolution 19-5, approving and adopting the 1900 Southwest Community Reinvestment Project Area Plan. Board Member Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

Board Member Paul moved to APPROVE Resolution 19-6, approving and adopting the 1900 Southwest Community Reinvestment Project Area Plan Budget. Board Member Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

2. **PUBLIC HEARING** to consider project area plan and budget for the 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area.
 - a. **Resolution 19-7** approving and adopting the 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area Plan.
 - b. **Resolution 19-8** approving and adopting the 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area Plan Budget.

Board Member Paul moved to APPROVE Resolution 19-7, approving and adopting the 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area Plan. Board Member Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

Board Member Paul moved to APPROVE Resolution 19-8, approving and adopting the 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area Plan Budget. Board Member Saxton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and all Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.

D. Adjournment

Board Member Saxton moved to ADJOURN as the Redevelopment Agency and RECONVENE as the Roy City Council at 8:20 p.m. Board Member Paul seconded the motion. All Board Members voted "aye". The motion carried.