



ROY CITY
Roy City Council Meeting Minutes
September 20, 2022– 5:30 p.m.
Roy City Council
5051 S 1900 W Roy, UT 84067

Minutes of the Roy City Council Meeting held in person in the Roy City Council Chambers and streamed on YouTube on September 20, 2022, at 5:30 p.m.

Notice of the meeting was provided to the Utah Public Notice Website at least 24 hours in advance. A copy of the agenda was also posted on the Roy City website.

The following members were in attendance:

Mayor Dandoy	City Manager, Matt Andrews
Councilmember Jackson	City Attorney, Andy Blackburn
Councilmember Joe Paul	City Recorder, Brittany Fowers
Councilmember Scadden	
Councilmember Wilson	
Councilmember Sophie Paul	

Excused: N/A

Also present were: Assistant City Manager, Brody Flint; Management Services Director, Amber Fowles; Public Works Director, Ross Oliver; Police Chief, Matthew Gwynn; Fire Chief, Craig Golden; Parks and Recreation Director, Travis Flint; City Planner, Steve Parkinson; Kevin Homer, Glenda Moore, Byron Burnett, Tammy Hill, Todd Call, Julie Cragun, Norma Edwards, Henry DeVarona, Leon Wilson, Janel Hulbert, Conner Atkin and Bryon Saxton.

A. Welcome & Roll Call

Mayor Dandoy welcomed those in attendance and noted Councilmembers Jackson, Joe Paul, Scadden, Wilson, and Sophie Paul were present.

B. Moment of Silence

Mayor Dandoy invited the audience to observe a moment of silence.

C. Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Dandoy led the audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

D. Consent Items

(These items are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by a single motion. If discussion is desired on any particular consent item, that item may be removed from the consent agenda and considered separately.)

1. Sale of 11 surplus vehicles – see attached details for year, make, and model

Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve the Consent Items. Councilmember Sophie Paul seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.

E. Public Comments

Mayor Dandoy opened the floor for public comments.

Byron Burnett, 4375 S 2675 W Roy, commented on the proposed change in zoning in the Downtown Gateway and acknowledged first that it was difficult to comment on an item before it was presented, but since there was no public hearing on the topic he wanted to address, he wanted to get his comments in. Mr. Burnett recalled he had learned that the designated areas in the zone were not requiring commercial on the bottom level of these buildings and were allowing row houses, which he noted had not been allowed in the Gateway for many years. He said he had listened to the Planning Commission meeting, and one of the arguments which had been put forth by the Commission was that it would be more compatible to not have the commercial on the bottom, but he voiced that he did not agree, because the parcel of land had three sides of commercial property, and he thought it was not a bad idea to have commercial at the bottom of this area. Mr. Burnett said if commercial was what they wanted to do Downtown, it would be a better idea to promote commercial in this area. Mr. Burnett continued to say that if the City wanted to have more residential, it was more lucrative to tear down some homes and build apartments because it was difficult for people to get home loans right now. He thought they should leave the zoning as it was and allow for an exception. Mr. Burnett also commented on the height of buildings and said they should require what was allowed for the residential zone to make it more compatible for the neighbors in that area.

Henry DeVarona, 1131 W 1580 N, said he was a developer, and as a developer he understood Mr. Burnett's views, but felt the City was way behind on housing needed more affordable housing options. He then discussed the overall trend was that people were going to the internet for shopping, so the need for commercial space was dwindling significantly. Mr. DeVarona said if he was in charge, he would rather get more people into housing than provide additional commercial space, since there was more of a need for residential. He pointed out there was a housing shortage currently, so there was more of a demand for residential than commercial.

Kevin Homer, 5398 S 4000 W Roy, commented on item G6 about charging stations. He said he said this would require applying for a federal grant, and he felt it was immoral of them to add to the federal debt by applying for a grant. He expressed he was a big proponent of EV vehicles and other eco-friendly initiatives but felt there were many reasons they should not contribute to the debt and increase the burden on the government. Mr. Homer said he was opposed to the City setting up more competition for private businesses, and proposed that the new Economic Development Director reach out to private EV charging businesses to explore installation in existing businesses instead.

Gary Kleema, 429 W 4675 S, Ogden, commented on the Roy City recreation complex, and stated he had worked there as a lifeguard until they had shut down in May. He expressed confusion about what was going on because they had not received any input or updates since the closure. He said it sounded like there was more money besides the \$128,000 which had been raised by resident donors, and he said if that was the case, he did not understand why they were not using those funds to get started on repairs. He thought they could save money by going directly to a company with a mechanical engineer. He also asked for clarity as to if they would have to keep the pool closed until the boiler was put in. He complained there was no clarity about what was going on, and he thought waiting four to six weeks for a bid was too long.

Mayor Dandoy closed the floor for public comments.

F. Action Items

1. Ordinance No. 22-11; to amend Title 10 Zoning Regulations, CH 13 – Mixed Use - 10-13-3 - Building Types - Table 3.1 (1); § 3) Store Fronts, § 4) General Stoop Buildings and § 8) Row Buildings – to allow Residential on the main level of buildings and Row Buildings, within the Downtown Gateway zone along secondary streets.

City Planner Steve Parkinson referred to table 3.1 of the amendment, which would allow for rowhomes in

the Downtown Gateway, and clarified this would not affect downtown east or downtown west; it was specifically a gateway zone. City Planner Parkinson said this would allow for residential units on the ground floor, with the caveats that it would only allow for properties with frontages along 2000 West, 5200 South west of 19, and 5300 South west of 19, and that all units would need to be set back 300 feet. City Planner Parkinson reported the General Stoop was similar, and they had made a change to allow for more residential. He elaborated there were the same caveats, and he added they were looking at more minor and residential roads and wanted to preserve the corridor of 19 and keep that area commercial. He commented on row houses as well and said again that the same caveat would apply.

City Planner Parkinson commented on compatibility on 2000 West and noted the properties along that road were already zoned R3, and they already had duplexes or other residential properties on them. He showed a map of the 18 properties which would be affected by this change and clarified that it was not every property in the Gateway, but rather, only those with access to 19 on 53 and 52, and along 2000 West. City Planner Parkinson referred to the packet and oriented Council to the properties in the area and explained the decisions for the different roads and properties with regards to commercial versus residential.

City Planner Parkinson next reported the Planning Commission had held a work session to figure out how they wanted to word the caveats, and at the meeting their main focus was centered around preserving 1900 West for commercial uses. However, he added they had included some exceptions to allow residential along 2000 West, due to the nature of the surrounding area.

City Planner Parkinson addressed some of the talking points Mr. Burnett had spoken about in the public comment section and commented on the building heights. He said properties across from an R1 zone already had a limitation on the height allowance, and he explained the buildings could only be the same height as the zone across from it, but otherwise buildings were permitted to go to the max height of the zone. He reported the Planning Commission had thought that addressed the issue of height adequately. He said properties which were located next to residential zones already had restrictions in place and said the Planning Commission had felt they did not need to change those standards. City Planner Parkinson stated City Staff recommended approval of ordinance 22-11 as written, as did the Planning Commission, and asked for questions.

Councilmember Wilson asked why this amendment extended past the original property in question, and City Planner Parkinson replied they did not want to spot zone in the spirit of fairness. He noted they wanted to avoid making a change for just one property. Mayor Dandoy added it was not unheard for an applicant to ask for a deviation from the zoning requirements. City Planner Parkinson explained when developers came in for a rezone, the Council had the ability to give a legislative decision of what could be done, based on what was already in the books for what was allowed. City Planner Parkinson elaborated if a developer wanted to modify what was in the books to allow something different in a given zone, the Council could not grant just that one developer an exception without allowing similar properties in the area in the same zone the same exceptions. Mayor Dandoy summarized that if they did not want to allow this for one property then they needed a blanket decision for the entire zone. City Planner Parkinson commented that the developer understood that, and he specified the Planning Commission had written exceptions into the Code that all applicants would have to meet in order to qualify for the exception.

Mayor Dandoy asked if properties in other areas would be upset with not being allowed the same exceptions. Councilmember Scadden observed this set a precedent, and from a legal perspective they could not defend that. City Planner Parkinson stated the exceptions that were written in the ordinance explicitly stated what was allowed, and in what zones.

Councilmember Joe Paul expressed concerns they had worked on the downtown area for ten months and

they left those types of housing out because it was unwanted, and he expressed that did not want to set precedents. City Attorney Andy Blackburn said they needed to look further in the future, and his concern was the dilution of their commercial properties if they allowed this across the board. He opined he was not a fan of this right now because they did not know what was going to happen with the 5600 projects in terms of how far north it would go.

Mayor Dandoy reflected on the process it had taken to get to the Downtown area developed, and recalled a comment made by Councilmember Wilson in which she had addressed concerns that there was less emphasis on commercial and more emphasis on residential in the downtown district. Mayor Dandoy said that by taking away storefronts, they were essentially creating apartments, and he cautioned there needed to be some set back requirements to avoid the area becoming too residential. He briefly summarized the setbacks in this proposed ordinance.

Councilmember Joe Paul commented they were not short of rooftops and pointed out the studies they had done showed they were actually short of commercial space and residents of the City were going to other cities to shop. He said looking at the vision of the City, he knew they needed more commercial space and he was hesitant to give up space for residential in lieu of commercial. He said he knew commercial needs were changing as many people now shopped online but cautioned the Council that once they allowed it to become housing, they would never get it back and they needed to be careful. Councilmember Sophie Paul agreed she was not comfortable giving up commercial for residential because they would never get it back. City Manager Andrews acknowledged there were a lot of unknowns with this project and there would be a lot of impact to commercial businesses. Councilmember Sophie Paul said she was not comfortable with this now and would rather wait a couple of years to see what happened. City Planner Parkinson reminded the Council he was just presenting the applicants proposal and Planning Commission's recommendations at this stage.

Councilmember Jackson thought this area was a perfect place for residential, and since people were mostly shopping online and there was such a need for housing, she thought this would be a good place to put residential. Mayor Dandoy thought there was a good argument for changes to this property because of its uniqueness but reminded the Council this was not about that individual property but would impact 17 other properties in that zone which were being lumped into these exceptions. He thought they should find a solution for this property because of how unique it was and leave the rest with their originally intended purposes. He noted the changes being proposed would take those options off of the table for the other impacted properties.

City Planner Parkinson suggested before they vote they allow the applicant to speak. Mayor Dandoy asked if Council had questions for the applicant. Councilmember Wilson asked the applicant what he had in mind for this area more specifically. The applicant, Connor, explained they were looking for the best use for this property, and he felt the design of a three-story residential building with commercial on the bottom was the best use of the space. Councilmember Wilson asked what kind of housing units they were looking into, and Connor discussed they were considering one- and two-bedroom units. Councilmember Wilson said one of her concerns was parking because there was already a shortage of parking in that area downtown and asked what he proposed for parking. Connor replied he thought there would be one stall per unit but could not recall. However, he noted Mayor Dandoy had a map of the design which indicated how many parking stalls were available. Councilmember Wilson then asked City Planner Parkinson what the parking difference would be if there was commercial on the ground level. City Planner Parkinson replied it depended on the use, and he did not have that specific information in front of him and said they would have to look into it based on use. Councilmember Wilson asked about street parking regulations and what options would be considered. City Planner Parkinson said the ordinance had certain requirements for the number of parking stalls that would need to be followed, and he said as long as the requirements were met the commercial would be allowed. He acknowledged parking was limited and some people might have to park

on the street but reiterated they could not require every development to have ample parking.

Mayor Dandoy asked for a motion.

Councilmember Wilson said the comments about commercial were valid and they definitely needed residential, so she thought this was a difficult choice to make. She commented the future of commercial was not looking promising and the parking difficulties concerned her significantly.

Mayor Dandoy again asked for a motion.

There was no motion to approve Ordinance No. 22-11; to amend Title 10 Zoning Regulations, CH 13 – Mixed Use - 10-13-3 - Building Types - Table 3.1 (1); § 3) Store Fronts, § 4) General Stoop Buildings and § 8) Row Buildings – to allow Residential on the main level of buildings and Row Buildings, within the Downtown Gateway zone along secondary streets. Due to no motion and no second, the Ordinance was denied.

2. Ordinance No. 22-12; to adopt the amended 1900 Southeast CRA

Mr. Randy Sant explained the RDA had added property to the project area that went from 5600 South along 1900 to Aaron's Jewelers in order to meet requirements of House Bill 151. He said they had a potential user who met the retail definition and said this developer wanted an incentive. Mr. Sant said that based on the hotel study, this corner was a prime location for a future hotel, and he explained "hotel," by definition, fell under retail. He said this ordinance agreed with the motion made by the RDA.

Councilmember Joe Paul motioned to approve Ordinance No. 22-12; to adopt the amended 1900 Southeast CRA. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "Aye". The motion carried.

3. Ordinance No. 22-13; to amend the General Plan by updating Chapter 6 – Residential Development; the Moderate-Income Housing Element.

City Manager Andrews said House Bill 462 had been introduced that year, and it required the City to put in a moderate-income housing element into the General Plan by October first of that year. He said in order for them to meet this guideline by October first they had brought this forward for a vote.

City Planner Parkinson said there were several different goals identified in the General Plan in regard to affordable housing, and he briefly discussed these goals. He said in order to satisfy the House Bill 462 they needed to create a moderate-income housing plan that was up to date, and to that end, they had the consultant working to update the data in the existing General Plan. He noted while the data would be updated, the language was all the same. He explained once the consultant was done, they would update the General Plan with the new data and charts which would meet the requirement, but he expressed that he still wanted to go through the goals and objectives of the 2019 moderate-income housing plan.

City Planner Parkinson said if they approved the proposed amendment they would be more in compliance, and asked if there were any questions or comments based on all of the information provided in the packet.

Mayor Dandoy read a statement from the Wasatch Firm Regional Council and the Utah League of Cities. He said this addressed the upcoming changes to 3500 as well as the transit facility. Mayor Dandoy felt they were in a good spot as a City in terms of their compliance, and he would like to take that leverage to ask for the assistance of widening 3500. City Planner Parkinson commented on grants and thought they

could also justify asking for more funds to help with some of their projects. Mayor Dandoy reiterated they were in a good spot, pending the approval of this ordinance, and asked for comments and questions.

Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve Ordinance No. 22-13; to amend the General Plan by updating Chapter 6 – Residential Development; the Moderate-Income Housing Element. Councilmember Sophie Paul seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted “Aye”. The motion carried.

G. Discussion Items

1. Winterization at the Complex

Public Works Director Ross Oliver said the basement of the complex held the boiler, which had kept the basement warm when it was running, but since the boiler was longer been in operation there was now no heat down in the basement and as such, there were water pipes and other equipment in the basement which needed to be winterized. Councilmember Joe Paul recalled one of the discussions about this issue had been about the possibility of putting a space heater down there, but he wondered if their options had changed now that the Health Department had gotten involved. Councilmember Scadden asked what they were considering as far as winterization. Public Works Director Oliver discussed they needed to drain the pool, and the earliest they could get anyone out to look at it was a date in mid-January, and the engineer would be coming out the following day to look at the boiler. He said his suggestion was to shut off the water, but if they did this the rest of the building would not have water for the bathrooms. He said unless someone had a better idea that was his suggestion. Councilmember Sophie Paul asked how that would affect the pool, and Public Works Director Oliver assured the Council it would not affect the pool at all.

Councilmember Sophie Paul asked how cold they thought it would get down there, and if there was a big risk in choosing to not winterize it. Parks and Recreation Director Travis Flint said he was unsure because this was the first time they were in this situation, as they had had the boiler in the past. Councilmember Jackson asked if there was any way the new boiler could be put in before the first freeze. Parks and Recreation Director Flint did not think this was feasible. Councilmember Jackson asked how far out they were in getting the boiler ordered, and Public Works Director Oliver said they were about 36 weeks out, since they were beholden to follow a hard purchasing policy.

City Manager Andrews explained the RFP process for bids, and commented they were using Wasatch Civil because they already had a contract with them. He said if they wanted to use a different engineer, they would have to do another RFP to get a contract because it was above their park purchasing limit, but if they elected to go through Wasatch Civil they could issue that contract and 45 days after that they could issue an RFP. He explained since the Wasatch Civil engineer was just designing the boiler it would take less time. He added once the RFP was out, they could get multiple people to bid on that project, and find contractors who could do it cheaper and faster.

Councilmember Sophie Paul asked if the school used the complex for anything other than the pool. Parks and Recreation Director Flint said they brought gym classes every so often, and the special needs classes used it as well. He noted they used it a lot more in the winter. Councilmember Sophie Paul asked if they could use it without bathrooms and Parks and Rec Director Flint replied they could not.

Mayor Dandoy said they would probably need to close the complex and winterize it in order to prevent any further damage as a result of any hard freezes, which would have negative consequences for the building, and he clarified there would be no Roy City employees in the complex during the winter months. Parks and Recreation Director Flint said that typically during winter months, they got about

7500-8000 patrons per month, and the revenue they typically made was around \$25,000 each month. He said it was a busy stretch for them and it would be his hope to try to figure out a way to keep it open if they could find an alternate solution to winterize. Parks and Recreation Director Flint said if it got to a point where they could not find an alternative, they would need to figure out what to do with the three full-time staff members and 8-12 part-time staff members who were dedicated to that facility.

Mayor Dandoy said it came down to a building code issue if they did not have water or any heat. Parks and Recreation Director Flint said if the water was shut off there would be no restrooms or water fountains and the building would have to close. He discussed the challenge was finding a way to keep it warm and open, and he was afraid if they shut down, they would lose the great staff they had. Mayor Dandoy said he did not see any other options. Parks and Recreation Director Flint acknowledged Mayor Dandoy may be right, but he wanted to exhaust all of the possibilities before they settled on that.

Mayor Dandoy said this was a discussion item and asked Council if they would be comfortable asking Staff to come back with a proposal that had an option other than a complete shutdown. He emphasized they could not afford any further damage and encouraged City Manager Andrews to come back with another option for them.

Councilmember Jackson asked if there was a heating system they could bring in and use in the basement. City Manager Andrews said anything they brought in would cause an exhaust problem and he was worried about how long it would take to install. He said he thought there might be a possibility it could work but did not know at what cost. Parks and Recreation Director Flint explained the concern would be the breaking of a main pipe in the basement, and he reiterated they were in uncharted territory because they had always had a boiler in the past. Councilmember Jackson asked if the engineers could figure out any solutions for them.

Mayor Dandoy asked Parks and Recreation Director Flint to come back with a proposal for the next Council meeting.

2. Pickleball Court Bids

Parks and Recreation Director Flint reported they had opened a bid for the pickleball courts and had three companies bid, with the high bid being \$977,661 and the low bid being \$598,390. He reminded the Council that the budget for this project had been \$300,000. He said they had reached this budget figure based on other City's projects from previous years, but he discussed they lived in a different world with the cost of materials being twice as much as they were the previous year. Councilmember Joe Paul asked how long they had on the RAMP Grant and Parks and Recreation Director Flint said they could extend it a year, but no one anticipated prices dropping within that time. Parks and Recreation Director Flint added the contractor with the lowest bid had indicated he would be willing to work with them on price.

Parks and Recreation Director Flint said they had three choices for funding and presented these choices. He said their first option was to increase the budget by \$450,000 and build it as planned, the second option was to go back to RAMP to discuss the scope of the project and see if they would be willing to let them do a different project, and third option would be to eliminate the project entirely.

Councilmember Sophie Paul asked how many courts they had proposed in the project and asked if they could go to RAMP and reduce the number of requested courts. Parks and Recreation Director Flint said that was an option, but they would have to go through an extended process. Councilmember Joe Paul asked how the price would be impacted if they relocated the project to Emma Russell and lowered the number of courts. Parks and Recreation Director Flint said they would still have to take that proposal back to the RAMP committee. He said if that was the direction Council wanted to go he could put that in

the email.

Councilmember Jackson asked if cement was the biggest cost and Parks and Recreation Director Flint replied cement and steel were the most expensive. Councilmember Jackson suggested having the cement donated, but Parks and Recreation Director Flint said the amount of cement was significant and even with donations it might be unfeasible. Mayor Dandoy said the RAMP funds had all been allocated for the year and this project exceeded the budget, so really, they only had the option to scale the project back or get rid of the plans completely.

Councilmember Joe Paul said they should kill the project until the following year to see if material prices went down. Councilmember Jackson said she could check on cement. Councilmember Joe Paul stated he would not vote to put any more budget towards this. Mayor Dandoy asked the other Councilmembers if they were okay with scaling back the project. Councilmember Sophie Paul expressed uncertainty if postponing it for a year was an option because there were grant funds tied into it. Parks and Recreation Director Flint acknowledged her point and said he could check to see if that was a feasible option. Mayor Dandoy cautioned the Council that he did not want this to turn into the problem they had with the ice rink.

Councilmember Wilson asked if they could get some estimates of cost if they scaled down the project, and Parks and Recreation Director Flint replied he could check with the contractors to get some numbers. Councilmember Jackson asked if they knew how much square footage each court was and said she knew three concrete companies that she could talk to about doing a discount for them.

Parks and Recreation Director Flint said he could look into all of these suggestions. Mayor Dandoy asked Parks and Recreation Director Flint to come back to Council with a scaled back version of the project.

3. Roy Days Follow-up

City Manager Andrews announced they had made some changes to the Roy Days event, and he had received a lot of good feedback about the changes. He said he wanted to have a general discussion about what they liked and what they could do better.

Councilmember Jackson thought the center line of the parade was empty and felt like the bands and dancers needed to be in the center rather than on the outside. She thought they could safely be able to throw candy to the crowd, and she opined there was too much space between some of the groups in the parade. She thought everything else was great.

Councilmember Sophie Paul did not understand how the gap had gotten so big between groups when they had started off at an appropriate distance, and she did not know how they would regulate that. City Manager Andrews said he noticed the general public was respectful about staying behind the bike lanes and people were handing out candy but there was the element of the expectation of candy being thrown. Councilmember Paul noted candy had only been thrown at the beginning and the end of the parade.

Councilmember Sophie Paul wanted to have a discussion about the hours on Saturday for the vendors and the food trucks. She pointed out the vendors closed at eight, but the fireworks started at ten and there were people that were just arriving as vendors were shutting down. She suggested the vendors stay open an hour later to accommodate residents. Police Chief Matthew Gwynn noted the stop time had always been eight o'clock but the difference this year was that it had actually been enforced because of fencing and traffic control. He spoke to the public safety perspective and said since staff was needed to transition to the fireworks, they could not manage both sides. Councilmember Sophie Paul asked if extending to nine o'clock would be a problem. Police Chief Gwynn said he did not mind when the stop time was but said whenever it was it would have to be strictly enforced.

Councilmember Paul asked if there had been any discussion about a change of venue. Police Chief Gwynn said that was part of a much larger discussion and deferred to City Manager Andrews to answer that question.

Councilmember Wilson wanted to discuss the salmon bake, and she said she thought they could facilitate more people and decrease staffing by having volunteers. She discussed other cities she had worked with in the past had offered hot dogs for people that did not like salmon, which worked well for families with kids that were picky eaters. Councilmember Joe Paul joked he would eat the hot dogs. Parks and Recreation Director Flint gave context and said the salmon bake had started with the Kiwanis Club and then it went to the fire department who did it as a fundraiser, and then ultimately to the City, and he thought the City had done a fabulous job with it. Councilmember Wilson asked if they made a profit. Parks and Recreation Director Flint said they typically did.

Mayor Dandoy said there were a lot of great things that had taken place but recalled there had been some backlash about the assault rifle that had gone up for bid at the auction, and he thought they should consider putting restrictions on the raffling of weapons. Councilmember Sophie Paul agreed. Councilmember Joe Paul said if they were going to restrict weapons then they should restrict other items that were offensive to people. Mayor Dandoy recalled after the event, some residents had called to complain about it. City Manager Andrews said he had a problem with the displaying of the rifle itself and suggested they use a picture instead. Mayor Dandoy thought it had looked odd, given all of the security and protective measures they had established for the day, and agreed that a picture was a much better way to offer a rifle for raffle. He thought going forward they should have a rule that required people to leave their guns at home and provide pictures instead. City Manager Andrews said the vendor that had brought the assault rifle had put on his application that he was going to auction off a steak dinner but added that in the rules there was nothing prohibitive about weapons. Deputy Director Jody Call explained the assault rifle was auctioned by a veteran's group who wanted to raise money for their charity, and her understanding was that the gun was not operational. However, she said her worry was there no telling where this kind of thing would stop, and how many categories would they have to consider to put limitations on. She asked for direction from the Council as to how they could improve the application process.

Mayor Dandoy said that by law, there were restrictions about some people purchasing guns and certain processes had to be gone through for the legal sale of arms. He said those standards had not occurred in this situation and he did not think this should be the responsibility of the City, and certainly not at Roy Days. He thought maybe they should specify that people could not bring guns. Councilmember Joe Paul said they had to be careful to say not to bring guns because it had not been declared a safe zone, and he suggested it was better to use the verbiage 'public display of guns' or something along those lines, because some people legally had a concealed firearms permit. Mayor Dandoy said the issue he was concerned about was whether or not people were screened for carrying guns either way. Police Chief Gwynn replied if someone had come in with a rifle slung across their back and were legally entitled to do so there was nothing they could have done about it. Councilmember Sophie Paul commented the rifle in question had come in through the back with a vendor and did not even pass an officer. Councilmember Jackson pointed out a background check or a mental health evaluation would not have been performed on the individual who won it. Deputy Director Call thought this was all speculation, but suggested the legal team look into this and make sure the proper procedures were followed through with. She agreed with Chief Gwynn that they were treading on tricky waters regarding legalities.

Mayor Dandoy said he did not want to dilute the idea for which Roy Days was founded. He said the core idea of Roy Days was certainly not that they would have guns displayed, raffled or sold and suggested looking into their legal options as a City as he did not want to see Roy Days turn into a gun show.

Councilmember Sophie Paul thought overall Roy Days was amazing. Councilmember Paul seconded that. Councilmember Wilson and Jackson agreed.

Deputy Director Call commented on Councilmember Wilson's concerns about the parade. She said one of the rules was that adults were only allowed to throw candy, and that one of the challenges about the setup was keeping adequate separation between vehicles in the center lane to avoid injuries. She shared an aerial video of the parade route and oriented Council to the layout. She discussed their biggest concern had been spectators coming out into the road. She commented on the vendor times and acknowledged it had been enforced differently that year, but it had actually been beneficial to the vendors because in previous years they had made vendors wait until after the fireworks to break down their setups. Deputy Director Call also commented on the salmon bake and said that this year they had required reservations every fifteen minutes, which allowed them to have a better estimate of how much they were going to need and had prevented a long line from forming. She thought the salmon bake was one of the smoothest events they had ever done, and said if they wanted to switch to volunteers, she would just need some direction from Staff on how they wanted to handle that. As far as revenue, she reported the salmon bake always broke even.

City Manager Andrews discussed the relocation of the venue and recalled that Roy Days had been at George Walden Park in the past since the park provided a greater amount of parking and was completely level, which made it safer. He said another advantage was that it was on an accessory road so the access was a little bit cleaner. However, he said some of the problems they had had in the past at that location was that the drop zone for the fireworks was not there and there was no power or the water connected there, and it would cost around \$100,000 to have water and electricity connected. City Manager Andrews said if they wanted to change the venue over to George Walden Park then they would have to allocate that money to make those installations. He said as far as the fireworks go, they could go with Chief Gwynn's proposal to separate the venues so that the youths might just go to the fireworks, instead of mingling with the families. City Manager Andrews said one of the problems they had had in the past was trying to babysit and having everyone together, the fencing had effectively separated the youths who were there for the fireworks from the families, and it had made it easier to control the crowds. He said the other feature about George Walden was the level ground, which would be better for the stage, but reiterated they needed the electricity and water.

City Manager Andrews said if they wanted this to happen they would need to get going on the plans soon. Councilmember Joe Paul asked if there were underground cables installed there and City Manager Andrews replied there was a conduit. Councilmember Sophie Paul asked where they would do the fireworks. City Manager Andrews said it had not been widely discussed yet, but he would prefer doing them where they had been done that year at the golf course, and he liked the idea of separating the events throughout the City. Councilmember Joe Paul asked about the possibility of doing the fireworks at the high school near the football practice field. City Manager Andrews replied he did not think there was a fallout zone there. Chief Gwynn added they did not have issues when the vendors and fireworks were at different locations, they only had issues when the two were combined and they had had issues every year they had been combined. Councilmember Joe Paul said they would have his vote to change venues.

Mayor Dandoy said they should give it a good study to determine the feasibility of moving the vendors over to George Walden the following year. Deputy Director Call commented that Staff would need to know the Council's decision soon so they could start getting things in order and start organizing funding. She suggested holding all of the events on one day and calling it a "Super Saturday," rather than stretching them out over the course of a weekend.

Mayor Dandoy said if they changed the venue some of the parking challenges would go away, and he

directed they should have Staff flush all the issues out and see what they could do moving forward. Councilmember Jackson said she liked having Roy Days at Roy West Park, and she thought if they had it at George Wahlen they would obviously have to close vendors at eight o'clock to give people time to drive over to the fireworks, and based on this she did not know if it was worth it to switch venues.

Councilmember Joe Paul said they could get permission from the church, school, and library to allow people to park, and he said those three lots would give significant parking. He said they could leave vendors open until nine because it did not take people an hour to get across town to watch the fireworks.

Mayor Dandoy said he thought spreading the venues across the City provided some advantages, but they needed to do more research to figure out what the impact would be.

4. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) candidate projects

Public Works Director Oliver said they had put in for two projects last year, but they had not advertised for public comments, and so they were bringing the item back again this year. He explained they were putting in bids for the water line, sewer line, curbs and gutters for the parking lot east of the complex. He said they would bring the bids to the meeting in October.

5. Letter of Intent to apply for Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) grant funding for roundabout at 6000 S / 4300 West or 4800 S / 4300 W

Public Works Director Oliver said they had considered two projects, a roundabout at 6000 and 4300, and another one at 4800 and 4300 where the school was located. He did not like the idea of putting a roundabout where kids would be walking around. Mayor Dandoy clarified this was a 2029 funding source and asked if Council was okay with the 6000 and 4300 project.

6. Letter of Intent to apply for Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) grant funding for electric vehicle charging stations on the north side of the Municipal Building

Mayor Dandoy said this was a 2025 funding source so even if they decided to move forward with this, they would not see any funding for another three years. He discussed that some places in the country were starting to change how they addressed carbon free transportation, especially in California. He said people would not be able to buy a gas or diesel vehicle in California or twelve other states by 2035 and felt this was an important conversation to have now. Mayor Dandoy explained the intent of this was not to make charging stations available to the public but would be for internal use. He pointed out that if they wanted to start looking into electric vehicles that could get around the City then they needed to start thinking of the infrastructure to support those vehicles. He said this particular program would do just that, and he discussed they needed to look further down the road because they needed to find ways to stay viable as a City and relevant in light of national changes. Mayor Dandoy said this program had a lot of money involved and said they could submit a letter of intent in order to be considered for funding.

Councilmember Jackson asked if this grant was available for personal businesses. Mayor Dandoy replied he thought it was only for government agencies. Councilmember Sophie Paul asked if this grant was for the vehicles or the charging stations and asked if they would have to come up with their own funding to purchase electric vehicles. Public Works Director Oliver said they had asked about getting an electric truck for the City and reported they had been told that it was still three years out. There was a lot of background chatter. Mayor Dandoy said the fact of the matter was that this was imminent, and they needed to be ready for it and they needed to start taking advantage of some of these grants. City Planner Parkinson reiterated this was only three years out still and it would be to their disadvantage to not try to take advantage of it now. Mayor Dandoy instructed City Manager Andrews to start putting a grant

proposal together.

H. City Manager & Council Report

City Manager Andrews reported that the meal for the Roy City sports teams was September 26th. He said they would start at 5:30 and the football team would be a half hour later at 6:00. He said from their previous meeting, City Reporter Brittney Fowers had put together the Halloween decorating contest and entries were due by October 21st. He said the judging would be on October 23rd and the winner would be announced October 28th. City Manager Andrews said that on October 12th they would do a public safety open house with fire and police, and asked Council to save the date.

City Manager Andrews reported they were working with UDOT on the 5600 corridor and some of the decisions they needed to make were with the water lines. He said one of the things they had talked about was providing a conduit along the corridor for beautification lights, and said they were looking at \$500,000 just for conduit. He said Public Works had attended a meeting with UDOT, in which UDOT had indicated they would provide those big lights along the corridor, but there was no conduit in the ground for them, so the City would have to install a conduit for a cost of \$500,000.

Mayor Dandoy commented the retrofit after the fact would be more expensive but said the Council did not want to spend the \$500,000. It was agreed they did not want to spend the money.

I. Adjournment

Robert Dandoy
Mayor

Attest:

Brittany Fowers
City Recorder