



**ROY CITY**  
**Roy City Council Meeting Minutes**  
**June 6, 2023– 5:30 p.m.**  
Roy City Council  
5051 S 1900 W Roy, UT 84067

Minutes of the Roy City Council Meeting held in person in the Roy City Council Chambers and streamed on YouTube on June 6, 2023, at 5:30 p.m.

Notice of the meeting was provided to the Utah Public Notice Website at least 24 hours in advance. A copy of the agenda was also posted on the Roy City website.

The following members were in attendance:

Mayor Dandoy	City Manager, Matt Andrews
Councilmember Jackson	City Attorney, Matt Wilson
Councilmember Sophie Paul	City Recorder, Brittany Fowers
Councilmember Scadden	
Councilmember Wilson	

Excused: Councilmember Joe Paul

Also present were: Assistant City Manager, Brody Flint; Deputy Fire Chief, Mike King; Deputy Public Works Director, Brandon Edwards; City Planner, Steve Parkinson; Kevin Homer, Glenda Moore, Janel Hulbert, Valene Claussen, Ryan Parent, Micheal Ghan, Jason McGraw, and Leon Wilson.

### **Welcome & Roll Call**

Mayor Dandoy welcomed those in attendance and noted Councilmembers Jackson, Sophie Paul, Scadden, and Wilson were present.

#### **A. Moment of Silence**

Councilmember Sophie Paul invited the audience to observe a moment of silence.

#### **B. Pledge of Allegiance**

Councilmember Sophie Paul led the audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### **C. Consent Items**

*(These items are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by a single motion. If discussion is desired on any particular consent item, that item may be removed from the consent agenda and considered separately.)*

##### **1. Approval of the March 21, 2023 Roy City Council Work Session Minutes and April Financial Statements.**

Mayor Dandoy inquired about the revenue from property tax, and Assistant City Manager Flint explained at the end of the year, there were budgeted adjustments to account for the discrepancy between the projected tax revenue and the actual numbers. Assistant City Manager Flint elaborated the discrepancies were generally minimal.

Mayor Dandoy then asked about miscellaneous revenue, which was 18% above budget. Assistant City Manager Flint replied this category included fixed assets, and that included their fire trucks. He explained this year, they had sold their old fire truck and purchased a new one, and with the increase in inflation, this purchase had put them above budget.

Mayor Dandoy asked as well about the Class C Road funds, which were 42%, and Assistant City Manager explained their expenditures were \$2.4 Million, although their revenue was only \$1.4 Million, and so they had to pull money from their fund balance. Assistant City Manager stated the contribution from the fund balance was \$1.05 Million.

**Councilmember Sophie Paul motioned to approve the Consent Items with changes to minutes as noted. Councilmember Wilson seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted “aye”. The motion carried.**

**E. Public Comments**

Mayor Dandoy opened the floor for public comments.

Janel Hulbert gave her address as 4178 Westlake Drive in Roy. She stated she was in favor of passing the General Plan, and she thought there had been a lot of good changes made. She also expressed gratitude for the work done at the Roy Recreation Complex. She said she had been trying to find a place for her Boy Scout troop to find a place to swim, and spoke about how difficult it had been without the complex. She thanked the Council for their work in getting it repaired and back open to the public.

Michael Gahn stated his address was 2174 W 5650 S Roy, and discussed he had not been able to find the time for the meeting that evening in the newspaper. He said he usually found the dates and times for the meetings in the paper, and he felt many people relied on the physical newspaper to find out when the Council meetings were to be held. Mr. Gahn also echoed Ms. Hulbert’s comments about the Recreation Center, and thought it was a very worthwhile thing to have in the community. He said it helped many people, and hoped it remained open. He thought they should get the splash pad outside the complex open already, if they had not yet. Mr. Gahn lastly discussed they should renovate the City Council chambers, and specifically, he thought they should get more microphones for the public comment section.

Kevin Homer of 5398 S 4000 W spoke about the previous week’s meeting about the Fiscal Year budget. He thought there were some items on that budget which he did not think needed to be included. He further opined that he did not feel there was equitable compensation for the Mayor and the City Council. He thought the Roy City mayor and City Councilmembers were not compensated fairly for the amount of work they did, especially in comparison to nearby cities of a similar size. Mr. Homer suggested they split the budget for a new dog park in half, and reallocate some of those funds for the Councilmembers and mayor.

Ryan Parent listed his address as 3909 W 5425 S and spoke about the closure of the park bathrooms. He understood it was frustrating to deal with graffiti, but he also thought it was unfair for people to visit the public parks and not be able to utilize the facilities. He thanked the Council for at least providing porta-potties, but pointed out that was not a long term solution and did not support the volume of people who went to the parks.

Mayor Dandoy noted they would speak about the park bathrooms later in the meeting.

Mayor Dandoy closed the floor for public comments.

**F. Action Items**

1. Consideration of Ord. No. 23-4; Consider updates to the 2023 General Plan, Appendix A, adding Station Area Plan as per HB-462 (2022).

City Planner Parkinson turned the time over to the consultants after giving a brief background of the item. He recalled they had adopted Focus Roy in 2017, which was the plan for the Station Area as well as downtown area, but now since the introduction of House Bill 462 the plan was now out of compliance and needed to be updated. He explained consultants had been hired to rework the plan and get it properly updated.

Ben Levenger of Downtown Redevelopment Services went over the specific requirements of what needed to be done to update the plan. He noted in 2022, the State had passed a bill which laid out specifications of what was needed in a Station Area, and 2017's Focus Roy plan did not have all of the required features. Specifically, he said affordable housing was required to be included, and an implementation plan as well as an implementation framework needed to be addressed. He summarized only about a third of the original plan addressed those topics.

Mr. Levenger shared a map of the area and highlighted some key points. He said they had looked at per capita income and housing, and noted per capita income was increasing at a proportionate rate to rising housing costs. He also discussed they had held an Open House with the public to go over the updates to the plan, and explained the process they had used to get feedback from members of the public. Mayor Dandoy thanked Mr. Levenger for having included UDOT in their plan, and Mr. Levenger discussed they had held several meetings with UDOT officials as well.

Mr. Levenger moved on to market analysis, and said they had focused on eligible items which were specific for the Station Area. He said they had updated and focused it to the specific area, since Roy City only had a general, community-wide market data. He noted the Station Area was constrained geographically, and had only one access point which gave them several challenges. He explained due to this, they would not be able to put in big stores such as Target or Walmart, but the area would be better suited to smaller businesses such as pharmacies, bookstores, coffee shops, and other similar size storefronts.

Mr. Levenger explained they had broken down the land use categories, and indicated the different land uses on the map. He noted all of the land uses were allowed with the current zones. He noted there was a dearth of senior living in this area, and clarified this was for independent senior living, not assisted living or nursing homes. He said they also had plans for mixed use, which would be buildings with storefronts on the bottom and housing on top, and explained this would help with density. Mr. Levenger also noted office and retail spaces were not as viable at the moment, particularly office since so many people now worked from home. He clarified again all of the stores in the commercial and mixed use areas were smaller stores; there would not be any big box stores. He commented that developers tended to develop what was quickest, easiest, and most profitable. Mr. Levenger went over the plans for senior housing, and explained the defining feature of those were that the garage was at grade with the rest of the home and the building were typically one floor, so while they did take up slightly more land they were selling at a large premium at the moment.

Mr. Levenger summarized the plan could accommodate 35,000 people and 2,000 households, including the townhomes, single family, and high density mixed use buildings. He reported the plan included 191 units of senior living, which was a standout for the area. He stated there were 556 planned townhomes along the western side of the railroad, and 400 units in the mixed use area. He then stated the plan would create 1,500 jobs based off of developing the area to the desired density, which would be a huge boon for the local economy. Mr. Levenger also noted they had run a quick water analysis, and had determined it would take 81 Million gallons a year to build and sustain the development, based on 2.7 people per unit. He indicated the remaining pages of the development included renderings of the development and very conceptual plans for the development. He reported UTA had expressed a desire to have greater density around the Station Area, and were more concerned with density than having more parking. He added the document also contained more recommendations from UTA and UDOT as far as public transit was concerned.

Mr. Levenger said many of the recommendations were things that would be the City's responsibility, which allowed the City to get involved and have jurisdiction over how the site was developed. He said while UTA owned the site, UTA wanted the City's goals and vision to be met.

Mayor Dandoy clarified the changes and notes he had sent to Mr. Levenger had been addressed, and Mr. Levenger assured Mayor Dandoy he and City Planner Parkinson had both received his adjustments and comments.

Councilmember Wilson thanked Mr. Levenger for taking on the project with such a short time. She noted they had made many changes since Focus Roy in 2017, including a zoning change in 2019. She summarized the primary concerns from the public were density, parking, traffic and catwalks, and type of buildings, and said she wanted to review those things. She noted there had been significant changes to their zoning which allowed greater density, and said they currently allowed 25 units per acre. Councilmember Wilson indicated the end of chapter eight, which discussed the impact statement. She said that section talked about unit types and specifically, it listed that mixed use could have 18 to 35 units per acre. She thought 35 was a good goal. She further opined they omit point two on page 75, "inclusionary zoning to allow additional density of the provision of affordable housing," because they had already increased density significantly.

Councilmember Wilson also indicated point ten, which stated they did not meet criteria although they did not have to meet it. She thought they did actually meet criteria, and the criteria referenced in the document was from 2017 and did not include the zoning changes they had made since that time. Therefore, she thought that point should be omitted as well, and she thought it was important they hold true to what they had discussed with the public. Mayor Dandoy explained the document referenced their Moderate Income Housing plan, which had already been put in the General Plan. He elaborated the document did not state anything new; it merely restated what they had already approved. He said since this was part of the Moderate Income Housing plan, if they were to remove it they might be considered out of compliance by Wasatch Regional Front. Mayor Dandoy clarified Councilmember Wilson's point was that they needed to make adjustments to the implementation plan, and he asked if they made those changes if they would then need to go back and make changes to their Moderate Income Housing plan as well. City Planner Parkinson imagined they might have to do so, and agreed with Mayor Dandoy's point that if they were to remove the points identified by Councilmember Wilson they might be considered out of compliance.

Councilmember Wilson then asked if this document was when they had changed the language from past to future tense, and City Planner Parkinson confirmed that was correct. He said per the State, even though they had already done some of the things identified in their Moderate Income Housing plan, they were required to phrase it as though it would be done in the future. He cautioned Councilmember Wilson against removing that language from the document. Councilmember Wilson acknowledged this point, but said she wanted to have some language which could reflect that they had in fact already done some of those things, so it did not appear they were being deficient. Mr. Levenger replied they could do that, and said the report which was due on August first would reflect what they had done.

Mayor Dandoy said the Council had already made a decision about the density for that area, and expressed confusion that UTA had identified the area as a good candidate for HTRZ funding, despite the fact HTRZ funding required a level of density the area did not have. Mr. Levenger explained UTA had advocated for HTRZ funding since it was one of the few funding mechanisms which could fund that work, and discussed there was some gray area and they would have to read the requirements closely. Mayor Dandoy summarized that to access the HTRZ funding source they would have to see some level of density going into that project, and with their current density standards did not qualify for that. Mayor Dandoy also noted point eighteen specified they only needed to "consider" increasing density, they did not actually have to

state they would increase it. Mr. Levenger discussed they had left the phrasing like that since they wanted to best meet the needs of the residents, and said they wanted to keep it open to allow for a circumstance in which a developer were to come in with a great proposal for the space, but the plan had slightly higher density than they had anticipated. Mr. Levenger elaborated it was best to keep density at a range rather than a hard number, and said this gave the Council the opportunity to have the final say. Mayor Dandoy acknowledged Councilmember Wilson's point that they were subject to the desires of the public.

Mayor Dandoy recalled they had looked at this whole area when they had begun this project, and said the Station Area plan called for housing in the surrounding areas which were not owned by UTA and were on private lots. He said they brought private land into the plan, and by doing so increased the amount of people who stood to benefit from the public transportation there. He thought UTA got a better deal from their proposal due to proximity, and expressed the housing on private land would bring in more than enough density for UTA. Mayor Dandoy said he would be willing to talk to the trustees about this, but stated it was ultimately up to the Council and if they wanted to change Chapter 13 or not. Mr. Levenger noted this went to action on number six, and said UTA had made it clear their parcel was very constrained. Mr. Levenger explained due to the topography of the site, there was no secondary access and so to make any development work, there had to be surrounding developments.

Councilmember Wilson asked for Mr. Levenger to double check point 15, which stated "additionally this could be met though increasing density for chapter four strategy two." She also expressed a concern about decreased parking, and said UTA only wanted one parking spot per resident. Councilmember Wilson pointed out many people had multiple vehicles, and imagined parking would become an issue, especially if they went up from 25 to 50 units and the density was greater. She said they needed to either limit density, or increase parking standards. She also voiced opposition that they leave in wording which stated they could consider an increase of density to 55 units. Mr. Levenger expressed it was his professional opinion that they leave in the option to increase to 55 units, since it left them open to a wider range of development proposals. Councilmember Wilson replied they could always choose to add that in years down the line, but she held to her original opinion that it could lead to issues in the development.

Councilmember Wilson spoke about the site layout in chapter nine, and indicated some issues with parking on the southern site plan. Mayor Dandoy expressed he was unsure how to address her comments other than a recommendation to table the issue, since there was nothing to approve. Councilmember Wilson acknowledged they would need to have this ready by the next Council meeting. Councilmember Wilson moved on to comments about the catwalks, and thought they should say "when developed," rather than increasing the workload of City Staff and mandating they create and then maintain a catwalk through the area before it was developed. She indicated catwalks were discussed throughout the document, and specifically pointed to page eleven, which stated there needed to be a trail through the area for access. She reiterated they should wait until they develop the area before pathways had to be put in, since this alleviated the workload of the City. Mr. Levenger emphasized they needed to prepare this site for development, and expressed many of Councilmember Wilson's points were coming across as anti-development. Councilmember Wilson clarified she was opposed to big development, not small development, which she pointed out was the kind of development the public had expressed they wanted.

Councilmember Wilson asked if there was a way to work on the wording of the document without delaying the development. Mayor Dandoy said he had read the entire document, but noted the rest of the Council had not reviewed the whole document other than Councilmember Wilson. Mayor Dandoy said the challenge they had was Councilmember Wilson had made some valid points worth consideration, but she had proposed fundamental changes which made it impossible for the rest of the Council to vote on it that evening. He pointed out the rest of the Council had not seen the actual document and this made it hard to follow along with Councilmember Wilson's points. Councilmember Wilson also expressed it was difficult to follow since the page numbers were different in various copies of the document. Mayor Dandoy

discussed the deadlines which needed to be met, and said the budget document had to be approved at their next meeting. He said there were three public hearings at their next meeting, and if the Council believed this document was not ready and needed more work, they would need to bring it into the next meeting and go through it then. Mayor Dandoy expressed the budget was more important than this document, and said he did not want to have their next meeting go on for hours as they worked through this issue. City Attorney Wilson added if this document was not approved in June, they would be in violation for failure to pass their Moderate Housing plan in due time.

Mayor Dandoy asked the Council what they wanted to do. Councilmember Wilson offered to identify all the points she had brought up by page number, so it would be easy for the other Councilmembers to review and make it quicker to discuss in the next meeting. Councilmember Jackson thought many of Councilmember Wilson's points as important, but said this was just a plan and once a developer came in they could vote on changes to their specific site plan. Mayor Dandoy agreed this was just a general plan, and it did not tie them to anything and they would be able to work with a developer. Councilmember Wilson asked if they already had an interested developer and City Planner Parkinson replied they did not, and he reiterated Mayor Dandoy's points that the Council would be able to influence a site plan once they had one from a developer. Mayor Dandoy expressed his concern that if a developer presented a plan which was in compliance with their Code and the General Plan, the developer would then not have to bring the plan before the Council again and City Planner Parkinson would not be able to change the plan.

Mayor Dandoy imagined the HTRZ was similar to a CRA, which meant it was the purview of the RDA and they could use public money to fund developments. Assistant City Manager Flint explained the main distinction was the CRA did not negotiate local agreements, so it removed the political back-and-forth of negotiating that HRTZ had. Mayor Dandoy explained essentially, the Council needed to decide if they wanted to change the Code or not. Councilmember Jackson thought they needed to get this passed and on the budget, and said they could always go to Chapter 13 and change it.

Mayor Dandoy explained if they denied this motion that evening, they would go over the recommended changes to the meeting on June 20th for them to review and hopefully approve. He explained the motion before them was to approve the document as written, or to table it and bring it back for the June 20th meeting. Councilmember Jackson thought as long as nothing could be done without their approval, now was the time to pass it due to the time crunch. Councilmember Wilson stated there were small changes which should be made to the document so they were in line with what their residents had expressed they wanted, and she thought it did not make sense to move these goals to the future when it was a current goal of the Council. Councilmember Jackson acknowledged Councilmember Wilson lived near the area and so had likely spoken to more residents in the area, but said she could not imagine the Council approving anything that would greatly upset the people who already lived in the area.

**Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve Ordinance No. 23-4; Consider updates to the 2023 General Plan, Appendix A, adding Station Area Plan as per HB-462 (2022). Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, Councilmembers Jackson, Sophie Paul, and Scadden voted "Aye" and Councilmember Wilson voted "Nay". The motion carried.**

2. Consideration of Ord. No 23-5; Consider amendments to Title 10 Zoning Regulations, amending CH 6 – Establishment of Zoning Districts – Zoning Districts purpose and amending CH 17 – Table of Uses – Table 17-1 Table of Allowed Uses – Residential Zoning Districts.

City Planner Parkinson explained this would be amendments to Title 10, and recalled in April a resident had come before the Council to ask about using his lot to park and store trailers. He said since that time, Staff had worked with that resident to get a permit which would allow him to park trailers on the property,

so this change would not affect him. City Planner Parkinson said they wanted to modify the Code so it would be more straightforward and single-family homes would be the primary land use for the zone, so they would not end up with another instance of someone buying multiple lots and then using them for storage. He explained they had used the same language from R-E-20, and it now allowed for professional offices as well such as doctors and lawyers. City Planner Parkinson explained they had added uses to the table of uses in Chapter 17, and noted storage of trailers would be considered a secondary use. He summarized the primary purpose of the zone was single family homes, and reiterated the goal of the amendment was to make it more clear that the storage of trailers was a secondary use in the zone. He reiterated as well that it would not change or deter the original applicant from April.

Councilmember Wilson asked what a landowner could do with their property in the zone if they did not have a home on their property. City Attorney Wilson discussed there were several uses, but the applicant would have to file a permit for a permitted use. He listed churches as an example, and said while churches were allowed in the zone a separate application would have to be filed. Councilmember Wilson clarified her question was what options someone had if they owned the land but did not have the means to build a home on it just yet. She said she understood they did not want to have someone buy multiple lots in the area, but pointed out they would still have to go through the application process to build anything so in that way, the Council could stop someone from doing something they did not approve of in the area. Councilmember Wilson suggested they change it to a conditional permit. City Planner Parkinson discussed keeping the permit system in the way they currently had it prevented some of the vagueness of the zone and allowed the City to have greater control over uses.

Mayor Dandoy acknowledged Councilmember Wilson's earlier points, and referenced table 7-1, which stated the current policy was that recreational vehicles could be stored on lots in the zone as long as they were on an approved surface. Mayor Dandoy summarized the zoning authorized storage of recreational vehicles, including trailers, with their current policy. Mayor Dandoy said as far as he understood, the main change from the amendment would be that people would have to have a single family home on the property if they wished to store trailers; they would not be permitted to use the property for storage only as a primary use. Councilmember Jackson asked if there were any allowances for people such as the applicant who intended to put a home on their property but did not yet have one; and City Planner Parkinson assured her the applicant in question would still be permitted to store trailers. Councilmember Jackson noted the applicant took good care of the property despite there not being a house on it. The Councilmembers discussed this was property right restriction; however, it was the balance of keeping the aesthetic of the neighborhood uniform, and said it was the intent of the City's overall plan to not have storage units directly next to subdivisions.

Mayor Dandoy asked if the applicant had already been approved, and City Planner Parkinson said he was very close to being approved and had only a few small changes to make. He elaborated once he was approved, he would then be grandfathered in and exempt from any changes the Council made. Councilmember Jackson clarified the grandfather clause would not be the case to the four or five other people in the City to whom this change would impact, since they did not have active applications to get it approved. City Attorney Wilson confirmed that was correct, and pointed out that technically they were not in compliance now. Councilmember Wilson asked what the big concern was about allowing people to do a permitted use without having a house. Councilmember Scadden opined they had a responsibility to reach out to the other landowners and let them know they were going to put forth restrictions that would impact them, and let them have the opportunity to file an application as well.

Mayor Dandoy explained he read table 7-1 literally. He clarified in the R-1-10 zone, someone could put a stable, a coop, a barn, a pen, or an animal run on their lot, but the purpose could only be to confine domestic animals. He asked if he would be able to take a vacant lot in R-1-8 and put any of those structures on it if there was not also a house on the lot, and City Planner Parkinson replied he would not be able to. City

Planner Parkinson commented there were some lots in the R-1-7 zone which had been allowed to have those structures on the lot, but clarified they were conditional uses and it had only been allowed since they were located in a certain area within the zone.

Councilmember Wilson clarified this change did not have to do with the question of parking on the grass, and Mayor Dandoy confirmed she was correct. Mayor Dandoy elaborated that the Planning Commission liked to keep things separate, and said it would be easier to vote on the two issues separately. Councilmember Wilson expressed she thought that was a good idea.

Councilmember Scadden asked if they could move to table this until the applicant's original petition had gone all the way through. Councilmember Scadden explained he did not want to do anything which might impede the application.

**Councilmember Scadden motioned to table Consideration of Ord. No 23-5; Consider amendments to Title 10 Zoning Regulations, amending CH 6 – Establishment of Zoning Districts – Zoning Districts purpose and amending CH 17 – Table of Uses – Table 17-1 Table of Allowed Uses – Residential Zoning Districts. Due to a lack of a second, the motion did not continue.**

**Councilmember Jackson motioned to approve Ordinance No. 23-5; Consider amendments to Title 10 Zoning Regulations, amending CH 6 – Establishment of Zoning Districts – Zoning Districts purpose and amending CH 17 – Table of Uses – Table 17-1 Table of Allowed Uses – Residential Zoning Districts. Councilmember Sophie Paul seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. Councilmembers Jackson and Sophie Paul voted “Aye” and Councilmembers Wilson and Scadden voted “Nay”. Due to a split vote, Mayor Dandoy was asked to break the tie vote and voted “Nay”.**

Councilmember Wilson clarified the reason to table the motion was to give them more time to discuss this issue. Mayor Dandoy said it was partly to give them more time, but also it gave other people who stood to be impacted from this change time to file their own applications if they felt they had an argument. Mayor Dandoy gave his personal opinion that they had not given enough time to this issue, and he thought they should think about it more. City Attorney Wilson pointed out as well if an applicant came in, they would not be able to fall back on the old ordinance if Council approved the changes that evening since the new legislation would be in the works. City Attorney Wilson said if they wanted to give the other residents the chance to apply, they should let the motion die that evening and then they could do it again later after everyone had been given due notice that the change was in the works. He reiterated if they approved it, however, no one could have that change to apply. Councilmember Sophie Paul asked if they could reach out to the residents who would be affected and City Attorney Wilson said that they could. Councilmember Wilson thought letting the motion die would be the best course of action. City Attorney Wilson directed the Council to make a motion to deny the Consideration, rather than table it.

**Councilmember Wilson motioned to deny Consideration of Ord. No 23-5; Consider amendments to Title 10 Zoning Regulations, amending CH 6 – Establishment of Zoning Districts – Zoning Districts purpose and amending CH 17 – Table of Uses – Table 17-1 Table of Allowed Uses – Residential Zoning Districts. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, all Councilmembers voted “Aye” and the motion carried.**

#### **G. City Manager & Council Report**

City Manager Andrews reported they had received some bad news about the Complex. He said the area near the men's bathroom above the boiler needed to be redone, and indicated they had sent structural engineers in to determine exactly what needed to be done as well as come up with cost estimates. City Manager Andrews explained he was hesitant to put the boiler in and then do construction right on top of it,



and stated some of the initial cost estimates were in the ballpark of \$500,000 to do that area properly. He discussed they had run into some other issues over the course of repairs with Public Works, and said Public Works had been doing a good job so far of handling those challenges, although this was the most significant challenge they had come across so far. Councilmember Wilson asked how they would account for the extra money, and City Manager Andrews explained once they had hard numbers of how much the repairs would be they would have to do a budget amendment.

City Manager Andrews also spoke about the restrooms in the public parks, and said they had gotten smart cameras which did not film inside, but picked up on breathing and other noises which should hopefully help mitigate issues with graffiti. He said they were trying to keep the porta potties in good shape, although acknowledged there had been some complaints about them. He expressed their overall plan was to do an overhaul of all the parks and install the smart cameras, as well as get new signage that told people there were cameras and install better lighting. Councilmember Jackson clarified at the moment all of the bathrooms in all of their parks were closed, and City Manager Andrews said that was correct and they wanted to get the graffiti removed before they reopened them. City Manager Andrews said during sporting events, they could consider getting more than one porta potty to account for higher demand. Councilmember Jackson suggested they prioritize their more popular parks, and expressed her frustration with the overall situation. She also asked how often the porta potties were cleaned out, and it was discussed they were cleaned out once a week and also stocked with hand sanitizer and toilet paper. Mayor Dandoy agreed this was a big issue, and said the parks were a valuable asset and they needed to find a solution to this.

City Manager Andrews reported on the dead trees at Sand Ridge Park, and said the remaining dead trees and stumps would be removed although they did not yet have a timeline for this. He also spoke about the water lines on 5600 South, and said UDOT had given them a quote of \$3.9 Million to re-do the lines, which was much higher than they had anticipated so they were now considering using ARPA funds for the project. City Manager Andrews said the cost for them to re-do the lines was close to the estimate from UDOT, but said it would be nice to have UDOT do the project for them. He said if they used their available ARPA funds, the City would be responsible for about \$900,000 of the total cost. City Manager Andrews acknowledged this was not a budget meeting, but expressed this was a good deal and asked the Councilmembers for direction. He said they would have to do two water lines, which compounded it, but cautioned against pushing this project too far down the road.

City Manager Andrews lastly noted Roy Days was coming up, and said they would send out an email detailing the events.

Councilmember Wilson reported they had a salmon bake coming up at Russell Park, and said Sam's Club had agreed to donate hot dog meals in an effort to increase participation at the event. She explained people would have to sign up for the meal ahead of time, and she was hopeful this would increase engagement for the salmon bake. She added they already had many volunteers and were doing well, but expressed they were always looking for more.

Councilmember Sophie Paul reported Staff had been working hard to make sure Roy Days would be a success, and discussed it would be held George Wallen, which brought a new set of what-ifs and challenges. She stated July 22nd through July 5th would be the Art Show at the Southwest Library, and said they had been asked to judge that year. She said the Splash Bash would be Monday the 31st from noon to 8 PM, and asked the Council to make an appearance there. Councilmember Sophie Paul also announced the Fishing Derby would be held on Saturday July 29th and would be located at the Meadow Creek pond. She indicated the Miss Roy pageant would be held at the high school, and said the Councilmembers had two tickets each. She noted they usually had about 11 girls participate, but this year they had 17 so far. Councilmember Sophie Paul reported the voting for the Movie in the Park was closed, and the winner had

been Encanto. She said they currently had registration open for the parade, and said so far registration numbers were low, although she said people usually registered closer to the start date so she was not worried about that.

The Councilmembers and Mayor Dandoy briefly debated if they wanted to throw candy that year or not, and whether or not they wanted a float. Councilmember Sophie Paul also noted they had a lot of participation that year from both the high school and junior high. City Recorder Fowers explained they did not have a hard cut off for the floats in the parade, but they usually ended up with about 83 floats each year. City Recorder Fowers directed the Council to let her know if they wanted to do a float.

Councilmember Jackson reported on the Mosquito Abatement Board, and said they anticipated a large number of mosquitoes that year due to the amount of water. She expressed that residents could reach out to the Mosquito Abatement Board to spray if they had a large outdoor event, and this would mitigate some of the mosquitoes.

Mayor Dandoy reported he had received requests and complaints from residents about speeding on 2700 West. He said 5600 would be very congested in the foreseeable future due to the development being put in around it, although he said the road was supposed to be kept open throughout the construction. He said many people were traveling on other roads rather than the main artery to try and avoid the traffic there, and one of those side roads was 2700. Mayor Dandoy said the residents who lived on the street had reported 2700 was becoming like a freeway and too many people were speeding, so the residents had requested a device in to mitigate the speeding issue. Mayor Dandoy explained they generally did a survey first to determine if they needed to install a device, so he asked City Manager Andrews if they could install a meter on 2700 in between 5600 and 6000 to decide how significant the speeding issue was in that area. Mayor Dandoy appreciated they were subject to the availability of a meter, and acknowledged there were several other areas in the City in which they wanted to do a traffic study.

Mayor Dandoy closed the meeting by urging the Councilmembers to bring whatever they noticed in the City to the Council meetings, and said their real power was in the Council chambers.

**H. Adjournment**

---

Robert Dandoy  
Mayor

Attest:

---

Brittany Fowers  
City Recorder

dc: