# Roy City Council Meeting Agenda <br> OCTOBER 17, 2023-5:30 P.M. <br> Roy City Council Chambers 5051 S 1900 W Roy, Utah 84067 <br> This meeting will be streamed live on the Roy City YouTube channel. 

A. Welcome \& Roll Call
B. Moment of Silence
C. Pledge of Allegiance
D. Consent Items

1. September 19, 2023, Roy City Council Minutes
2. July and August 2023 Financial Statements
3. Request for approval of an alcoholic beverage license for So Delicious Japanese Wasabi, located at 1780 W 5600 S.

## E. Public Comments <br> If you are unable to attend in person and would like to make a comment during this portion of our meeting on ANY topic you will need to email admin@royutah.org ahead of time for your comments to be shared. This is an opportunity to address the Council regarding concerns or ideas on any topic. To help allow everyone attending this meeting to voice their concerns or ideas, please consider limiting the time you take. We welcome all input and recognize some topics take a little more time than others. If you feel your message is complicated and requires more time to explain, then please email admin@royutah.org. Your information will be forwarded to all council members and a response will be provided.

## F. Action Items

1. Consideration of Resolution 23-20; A Resolution Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Interlocal Agreement Between Roy City and the Weber School District, Wherein Roy City Will Provide Law Enforcement Personnel to Various School Campuses Located in Roy City.
2. Consideration of Resolution 23-21; A Resolution of the Roy City Council Amending an Interlocal Agreement Between Roy City Corporation, Ogden City Corporation, South Ogden City, Corporation, Riverdale City Corporation, North View Fire District, and the Weber Fire District adding Washington Terrace City as a Participant in the Automatic Aid Fire Agreement, A Resolution Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Interlocal Agreement.
3. Consideration of Resolution 23-22; A Resolution designating individuals to act on behalf of Roy City in transactions concerning the Public Treasurers' Investment Fund
4. Consideration of Resolution 23-23; A Resolution of Roy City approving an Interlocal Cooperation agreement between the City and the Redevelopment Agency of Roy City.

## G. Discussion Items

1. Speed Limit Change Considerations for 4400 S .

## H. City Manager \& Council Report

I. Adjournment

[^0]
## meeting.

Pursuant to Section 52-4-7.8 (1)(e) and (3)(B)(ii) "Electronic Meetings" of the Open and Public Meetings Law, Any Councilmember may participate in the meeting via teleconference, and such electronic means will provide the public body the ability to communicate via the teleconference.

## Certificate of Posting

The undersigned, duly appointed City Recorder, does hereby certify that the above notice and agenda was posted in a public place within the Roy City limits on this $13^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2023. A copy was also posted on the Roy City Website and Utah Public Notice Website on the $13^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2023.

```
Visit the Roy City Web Site @ www.royutah.org Brittany Fowers
Roy City Council Agenda Information - (801) 774-1020 City Recorder
```



## ROY CITY

Roy City Council Meeting Minutes
September 19, 2023- 5:30 p.m.
Roy City Council
5051 S 1900 W Roy, UT
01067
Minutes of the Roy City Council Meeting held in person in the Roy City Council Chambers and streamed on YouTube on September 19, 2023, at 5:30 p.m.

Notice of the meeting was provided to the Utah Public Notice Website at least 24 hours in advance. A copy of the agenda was also posted on the Roy City website.

The following members were in attendance:

Mayor Dandoy
Councilmember Jackson
Councilmember Joe Paul
Councilmember Scadden
Councilmember Wilson
Councilmember Sophie Paul

City Manager, Matt Andrews
City Attorney, Matt Wilson
City Recorder, Brittany Fowers

## Excused:

Also present were: Assistant City Manager, Brody Flint; Fire Chief, Theron Williams; Public Works Director, Ross Oliver; Parks and Recreation Director, Michelle Howard; Glenda Moore, Kevin Homer, LuAnn Cooper, Claude Payne, Jackson Hammond, Greg Riley, Micheal L. Ghan, Erica and Bailey LaRue, Richard Jensen, and Don Patton.

## A. Welcome \& Roll Call

Mayor Dandoy welcomed those in attendance and noted Councilmembers Jackson, Wilson, Sophie Paul, Scadden, and Joe Paul were present.

## B. Moment of Silence

Councilmember Joe Paul invited the audience to observe a moment of silence.

## C. Pledge of Allegiance

Councilmember Joe Paul led the audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

## D. Consent Items

(These items are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by a single motion. If discussion is desired on any particular consent item, that item may be removed from the consent agenda and considered separately.)

## 1. Approval of the September 5, 2023, Roy City Council Meeting Minutes and Surplus Vehicles.

Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve the Consent Items as the September 5, 2023, Roy City Council Meeting Minutes with changes to minutes as provided. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

## E. Public Comments

Mayor Dandoy opened the floor for public comments.

LuAnn Cooper of 6076 S 4100 W , Roy thanked the City for their prompt response in regards to the crosswalk located at 4300 West. She expressed she did not realize the can of worms which would be opened by her making a comment on social media about the crosswalk, and discussed she had gotten many comments about how the crossing was a dangerous one. Ms. Cooper then reported how several people had told her the park was dangerous after dark and many drug deals took place there. She discussed how people were afraid to say something about it even though the general consensus was suspicious activity took place there, and so Ms. Cooper advocated for cameras to be installed around the park. She also thought speed limit monitors would be helpful to have on the street, as it would show that the City cared what speed people were driving. She acknowledged that people might break the cameras, but thought it would overall be helpful to have more surveillance there. Ms. Cooper added that she had gotten some information from the school and reported there were hundreds of students who lived on the side of the park who could benefit from the sidewalk to walk to and from school.

Austin Terry of 43096075 S, Hooper stated he had come before the Council earlier that year to talk about the speeding issue on 4300 West. He recalled the Council had told him they would install some kind of speed monitoring device to address the many incidents of speeding on the road. He thought a speed camera or at least signs would be very helpful on the road, since it was only a 25 mile per hour speed limit but people went much faster than that. Mr. Terry asked for a follow up, to which it was replied there had been monitors installed on the road as part of a traffic study. Mayor Dandoy elaborated that one of the problems they had with that particular street could be that the speed limit was too low, although they would not be able to confirm that was the problem for sure until they had the results from the study. Mayor Dandoy noted Roy City had elected to set the speed at 25 , although it was higher on other points of the road that were not in Roy.

Mayor Dandoy closed the floor for public comments.

## F. Presentations

1. Employee(s) of the Month

Assistant City Manager Flint nominated Steve Thomas and Bailey Ruth for employee of the month. He related to the Council how the two employees had been driving around the City on August 27 th when they had seen flames coming out of a backyard, and so they had driven to the house and mitigated the fire with the garden hose until the Fire Department was able to show up. Assistant City Manager Flint expressed how the back of the house would have been completely lost if not for their efforts, if not the whole house. He explained that due to their actions, the house had only sustained cosmetic damage.

Steve Thomas and Bailey Ruth were invited to come up and were applauded by the audience. Assistant City Manager Flint noted the danger they had put themselves in by fighting the fire without protective equipment. Fire Chief Williams reiterated how their quick actions had saved the building, and also spoke to their character and bravery.

## G. Action Items

## Public Hearing - Amendments to the FY24 Budget

a. Consideration of Resolution 23-17; A resolution to amend the FY24 Budget

City Manager Andrews explained they had a small budget adjustment for the cameras at Emma Russel Park. He stated the total was $\$ 34,000$ for both camera equipment and annual maintenance of the cameras. He added that amount also included $\$ 6,000$ for cameras to monitor speed and traffic on 4300 West.

City Manager Andrews then reported on the results of the study about the Cold War Veterans Association. He explained it was a requirement to have the study be completed for 14 days before the public hearing. He elaborated that they would not add this to the budget; they would use Council contingency for this and so his recommendation was to move into a public hearing.

Mayor Dandoy asked for a motion to move to a public hearing.

Councilmember Scadden motioned to open the floor for public hearing. Councilmember Joe Paul seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "Aye" and the floor opened for public hearing.

Kevin Homer of 5398 S 4000 W , Roy thought the price for the security cameras was reasonable and he thought they should approve this, although on a trial basis. He asked the Council to include at least quarterly reports throughout the year about how the cameras were doing. He discussed the data storage, and said he wanted to ensure it was used appropriately so it was important to make sure the usage was monitored.

LuAnn Cooper of 6076 S 4100 W, Roy thought cameras would make the area safer and thanked the Council for adding them to the park.

Councilmember Joe Paul motioned to close the public hearing. Councilmember Wilson seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "Aye" and the public hearing closed.

Mayor Dandoy said they had a significant vandalism problem in Roy, and pointed out the cost of installing the cameras did not come close to the cost of constantly having to repair and retrofit the bathrooms after they were destroyed by the vandals. He said on top of that, the public complained about the bathrooms being closed as they went through those repairs and nearly constant maintenance, so he thought the cameras would be a helpful solution. He acknowledged Mr. Homer's point that they would need to monitor the camera usage as well.

Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve Resolution 23-17; A resolution amending the FY24 Budget. Councilmember Sophie Paul seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, all Councilmembers voted "Aye" and the motion passed.

1. Consideration of Resolution 23-18; A resolution adopting the 5-year Strategic Plan.

Mayor Dandoy recalled they had now held three work sessions about this document, and they were now at the point where they needed to consider if they wanted to approve this resolution, or if there were more changes they wanted to make to the Plan.

Councilmember Wilson identified three areas that she wanted to go over based on their previous discussion, the first being in the section about revitalizing Main Street. She highlighted the line which stated they would replace banner signs with artificial flowers and greenery in hanging baskets. She thought they should insert "and other" after greenery as it allowed for greater creativity. Next, Councilmember Wilson pointed out the fifth bullet point under Objective Two, and requested the word "formal" be removed from the phrase "establish an agreement." On page 34, she pointed out the section which discussed updating the Council chamber, and she thought they had decided to hold off on doing this. Councilmember Wilson summarized that other than those minor edits, she was happy with the document.

Councilmember Joe Paul motioned to approve Resolution 23-18; A resolution adopting the 5-year Strategic Plan. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, all Councilmembers voted "Aye" and the motion passed.
2. Consideration of Resolution 23-19; A resolution amending the Roy City Personnel Policy and Procedures Manual

Mayor Dandoy recalled at their last meeting, they had discussed the tuition assistance program, and had proposed that the City Council could approve courses and extra education opportunities for the City Manager, since the City Manager was the person who approved courses for other City employees. Mayor Dandoy explained this resolution would finalize that change and asked the Councilmembers if they wanted any changes to be made.

## Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve Resolution 23-19; A resolution amending the Roy City

 Personnel Policy and Procedures Manual. Councilmember Sophie Paul seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, all Councilmembers voted "Aye" and the motion passed.3. Consideration of Ordinance 23-12; An ordinance of Roy City Amending Various Sections of the Roy City Code Concerning Public Notice Requirements.

City Attorney Wilson explained the State had recently changed the requirements for public noticing, so this ordinance would bring Roy into accordance with the new State rules. He said most notably, the State had removed the requirement that notices had to be put in the newspaper, although there was a public website where notices had to be posted. He added residents could subscribe to receive email notifications when a public notice was posted on the site.

Councilmember Wilson asked about section 10-9-3 in which a line about "regular notice by US mail" had been removed. She asked for clarification since elsewhere in the document it stated that it was still required for notices to be mailed to residents. City Attorney Wilson explained that the ordinance required mail to to be sent to whatever area would be affected, but for an ordinance change the area would be the whole City. He explained that since the cost to send mail to every single household in Roy would be astronomical, they had amended the ordinance to have it only pertain to land use ordinance changes. He acknowledged that it was confusing and reiterated the mailing requirement would only be sent to anyone who would be impacted by a zoning change.

Councilmember Jackson clarified this would not replace public noticing signs that were hung up in neighborhoods and it was clarified it did not, and those would still go up.

Mayor Dandoy commented this State-wide legislative change had been hundreds of pages and thanked City Attorney Wilson and his team for his work in updating the Roy City ordinance.

Councilmember Joe Paul motioned to approve Ordinance 23-12; an ordinance of Roy City Amending Various Sections of the Roy City Code Concerning Public Notice Requirements. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, all Councilmembers voted "Aye" and the motion passed.

## 4. Approval of the 2023 Primary Election Canvass

City Recorder Fowers overviewed the required Canvass report and stated they had held their 2023 Municipal election on June 5th of that year. She reported Roy City had 17,176 registered voters, and the election had a $25.08 \%$ participation rate. She listed the 10 candidates, then discussed that from the whole election, 51 ballots had been thrown out, and as one candidate had withdrawn after the election any votes cast for him had also been thrown out. City Recorder Fowers broke down the reasons for the discarded ballots, and explained some of the issues had been that some ballots were unsigned, some were returned
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after the deadline, and one had been signed by someone who was not the voter.

City Recorder Fowers reported that candidate Ann Jackson had received $23.76 \%$ of the vote, Joe Paul had received $17.13 \%$, Brian K. Saxton had received $16.11 \%$, Benjamin Pearosn had earned $11.09 \%$ of the vote, Jeremy Thompson had gotten $10.88 \%$, Claude W. Payne had gotten $6.91 \%$, David S. Young had received $6.08 \%$, Jeremy Brighton had earned $4.62 \%$, and Trent Wilkens had earned $3.43 \%$ of the votes. Mayor Dandoy stated that the top six candidates would move into the General Election for City Council.

## Mayor Dandoy led a motion to approve the 2023 Primary Election Candidates as reported. Councilmember Scadden seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Mayor Dandoy noted the General Election would be held on November 21st.

## H. City Manager \& Council Report

City Manager Andrews invited the Council to come out to Roy High School the following evening, as they were holding a dinner for the athletic and academic clubs. He anticipated about 300 students would be there. He then reported Public Works would have their dumpster open from October 23rd through November 4th for the public to come dump their waste.

City Manager Andrews announced Trunk or Treat would be held on October 30th, starting at Emma Russel Park. Mayor Dandoy commented there had been some issues with lighting at the park last year, and opined they should avoid the area for Trunk or Treat. There was also a short conversation about what the Council's theme for Halloween would be this year. The Council also discussed that the Arts Council would run a gingerbread house competition, although the dates were not yet known.

Councilmember Jackson asked if they were still on track to have the boiler put in the Complex in January of the next year, and it was replied that construction and repairs were moving slowly and it was not likely they would have the boiler in by January, although they could possibly have it in by February. Mayor Dandoy clarified it was an issue with the floors which was delaying everything and it was confirmed that was correct. Councilmember Joe Paul commented he had visited the Complex recently, and said there were a lot of upgrades to the amenities there. Mayor Dandoy agreed and expressed he was looking forward to the construction being completed. Councilmember Jackson commented that in a regional magazine she had recently gotten in the mail, the Roy City aquatic center had been named the number one swimming pool location in the area, and even the Complex had gotten a shout-out in the magazine even though it had not been open.

Mayor Dandoy said they needed to submit their letters of intent for grant funding by September 28th to multiple Wasatch Front Regional Council funding programs, and asked about what projects the City wanted to submit grant requests for. City Manager Andrews mentioned they wanted to submit a proposal to have a roundabout project completed, and discussed several other projects they wanted to accomplish.

## I. Adjournment
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Attest:

Brittany Fowers
City Recorder
dc:

FOR THE 1 MONTHS ENDING JULY 31, 2023

GENERAL FUND

REVENUE
PROPERTY TAX
SALES AND USE TAX
FRANCHISE TAX
LICENSES AND PERMITS
INTERGOVERNMENTAL
CHARGES FOR SERVICES
FINES AND FORFEITURES
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE
CONTRIBUTIONS AND TRANSFERS

|  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 4,330,100.00 | 4,330,100.00 | . 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 815,001.71) | ( | 815,001.71) | 8,660,000.00 | 9,475,001.71 | ( 9.4) |
|  | 87,085.96 |  | 87,085.96 | 3,501,950.00 | 3,414,864.04 | 2.5 |
|  | 21,086.40 |  | 21,086.40 | 426,000.00 | 404,913.60 | 5.0 |
|  | 173,036.41 |  | 173,036.41 | 238,125.00 | 65,088.59 | 72.7 |
|  | 331,737.02 |  | 331,737.02 | 3,447,500.00 | 3,115,762.98 | 9.6 |
|  | 103,185.47 |  | 103,185.47 | 653,000.00 | 549,814.53 | 15.8 |
|  | 301,239.60 |  | 301,239.60 | 346,500.00 | 45,260.40 | 86.9 |
|  | 13,000.00 |  | 13,000.00 | 405,278.00 | 392,278.00 | 3.2 |
|  | 215,369.15 |  | 215,369.15 | 22,008,453.00 | 21,793,083.85 | 1.0 |

EXPENDITURES
LEGISLATIVE
LEGAL
LIABILITY INSURANCE
JUSTICE COURT
FINANCE
TRANSFERS
BUILDING/GROUND MAINT DIVISION
POLICE AND ANIMAL SERVICES
FIRE \& RESCUE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
STREETS DIVISION
FLEET SERVICES DIVISION
PUBLIC WORKS ADMINISTRATION
RECREATION COMPLEX
AQUATIC CENTER
ROY DAYS
PARKS \& RECREATION

|  | 57,541.24 | 57,541.24 | 541,872.00 | 484,330.76 | 10.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15,083.20 | 15,083.20 | 433,717.00 | 418,633.80 | 3.5 |
|  | 20,918.42 | 20,918.42 | 251,021.00 | 230,102.58 | 8.3 |
|  | 31,600.33 | 31,600.33 | 453,796.00 | 422,195.67 | 7.0 |
|  | 26,780.76 | 26,780.76 | 508,084.00 | 481,303.24 | 5.3 |
|  | 76,036.66 | 76,036.66 | 912,440.00 | 836,403.34 | 8.3 |
|  | 34,198.33 | 34,198.33 | 722,165.00 | 687,966.67 | 4.7 |
|  | 485,874.06 | 485,874.06 | 7,107,574.00 | 6,621,699.94 | 6.8 |
|  | 400,727.25 | 400,727.25 | 5,432,635.00 | 5,031,907.75 | 7.4 |
|  | 52,297.35 | 52,297.35 | 793,251.00 | 740,953.65 | 6.6 |
|  | 42,164.07 | 42,164.07 | 774,096.00 | 731,931.93 | 5.5 |
|  | 12,257.56 | 12,257.56 | 245,594.00 | 233,336.44 | 5.0 |
|  | 15,217.17 | 15,217.17 | 449,551.00 | 434,333.83 | 3.4 |
|  | 42,571.33 | 42,571.33 | 838,345.00 | 795,773.67 | 5.1 |
|  | 112,430.89 | 112,430.89 | 784,608.00 | 672,177.11 | 14.3 |
|  | 35,071.92 | 35,071.92 | 133,400.00 | 98,328.08 | 26.3 |
|  | 108,663.40 | 108,663.40 | 1,626,304.00 | 1,517,640.60 | 6.7 |
|  | 1,569,433.94 | 1,569,433.94 | 22,008,453.00 | 20,439,019.06 | 7.1 |
| ( | 1,354,064.79) | 1,354,064.79) | 0.00 | 1,354,064.79 | . 0 |

$\underline{\text { PERIOD ACTUAL YTD ACTUAL } \quad \text { BUDGET } \quad \text { VARIANCE } \quad \text { PCNT }}$

REVENUE

| 41 CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND |  | 44,172.89 |  | 44,172.89 | 1,728,500.00 |  | 1,684,327.11 | 2.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 UTILITY ENTERPRISE FUND |  | 887,321.73 |  | 887,321.73 | 9,958,330.00 |  | 9,071,008.27 | 8.9 |
| 51 STORM WATER UTILITY FUND |  | 108,525.68 |  | 108,525.68 | 1,203,852.00 |  | 1,095,326.32 | 9.0 |
| 53 SOLID WASTE UTILITY FUND |  | 267,868.61 |  | 267,868.61 | 2,828,389.00 |  | 2,560,520.39 | 9.5 |
| 60 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY |  | 75,723.00 |  | 75,723.00 | 908,675.00 |  | 832,952.00 | 8.3 |
| 63 RISK MANAGEMENT FUND |  | 29,883.51 |  | 29,883.51 | 358,602.00 |  | 328,718.49 | 8.3 |
| 64 CLASS "C" ROADS | ( | 365,215.64) | ( | 365,215.64) | 2,557,880.00 |  | 2,923,095.64 | ( 14.3) |
| 65 TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTUR | ( | 60,846.15) | ( | 60,846.15) | 725,000.00 |  | 785,846.15 | ( 8.4) |
| 67 STORM SEWER DEVELOPMENT |  | 3,368.84 |  | 3,368.84 | 196,000.00 |  | 192,631.16 | 1.7 |
| 68 PARK DEVELOPMENT |  | 3,116.63 |  | 3,116.63 | 273,000.00 |  | 269,883.37 | 1.1 |
| 71 REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY |  | 10,864.34 |  | 10,864.34 | 1,513,710.00 |  | 1,502,845.66 | . 7 |
| 75 CEMETERY FUND |  | 20.00 |  | 20.00 | 0.00 | ( | 20.00) | . 0 |
|  |  | 1,004,803.44 |  | 1,004,803.44 | 22,251,938.00 |  | 21,247,134.56 | 4.5 |

## EXPENDITURES

| 41 | CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND |
| :--- | :--- |
| 50 | UTILITY ENTERPRISE FUND |
| 51 | STORM WATER UTILITY FUND |
| 53 | SOLID WASTE UTILITY FUND |
| 60 | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY |
| 63 | RISK MANAGEMENT FUND |
| 64 | CLASS "C" ROADS |
| 65 | TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTUR |
| 67 | STORM SEWER DEVELOPMENT |
| 68 | PARK DEVELOPMENT |
| 1 | REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY |
| 5 | CEMETERY FUND |


| 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,728,500.00 | 1,728,500.00 | . 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169,892.01 | 169,892.01 | 9,958,330.00 | 9,788,437.99 | 1.7 |
| 46,826.87 | 46,826.87 | 1,203,852.00 | 1,157,025.13 | 3.9 |
| 45,599.41 | 45,599.41 | 2,828,389.00 | 2,782,789.59 | 1.6 |
| 77,866.82 | 77,866.82 | 908,675.00 | 830,808.18 | 8.6 |
| 200,547.55 | 200,547.55 | 358,602.00 | 158,054.45 | 55.9 |
| 45,531.58 | 45,531.58 | 2,557,880.00 | 2,512,348.42 | 1.8 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 725,000.00 | 725,000.00 | . 0 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 196,000.00 | 196,000.00 | . 0 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 273,000.00 | 273,000.00 | . 0 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,513,710.00 | 1,513,710.00 | . 0 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | . 0 |
| 586,264.24 | 586,264.24 | 22,251,938.00 | 21,665,673.76 | 2.6 |
| 418,539.20 | 418,539.20 | 0.00 | 418,539.20) | . 0 |

FOR THE 2 MONTHS ENDING AUGUST 31, 2023

GENERAL FUND

| PERIOD ACTUAL | YTD ACTUAL | BUDGET | VARIANCE | PCNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

REVENUE
PROPERTY TAX
SALES AND USE TAX
FRANCHISE TAX
LICENSES AND PERMITS
INTERGOVERNMENTAL
CHARGES FOR SERVICES
FINES AND FORFEITURES
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE
CONTRIBUTIONS AND TRANSFERS

| $33,208.83$ | $33,208.83$ | $4,330,100.00$ | $4,296,891.17$ | .8 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $815,001.71$ | 0.00 | $8,660,000.00$ | $8,660,000.00$ | .0 |  |
| $278,161.45$ | $365,247.41$ | $3,501,950.00$ | $3,136,702.59$ | 10.4 |  |
| $16,698.40$ | $37,784.80$ | $426,000.00$ | $388,215.20$ | 8.9 |  |
| 0.00 | $173,036.41$ | $1,560,434.00$ | $1,387,397.59$ | 11.1 |  |
| $459,994.61$ | $791,731.63$ | $3,447,500.00$ | $2,655,768.37$ | 23.0 |  |
| $94,726.84$ | $197,912.31$ | $653,000.00$ | $455,087.69$ | 30.3 |  |
| $48,392.56$ | $349,632.16$ | $346,500.00$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}3,132.16) & 100.9 \\ 5,000.00 & 18,000.00\end{array}\right.$ | $1,522,931.00$ | $1,504,931.00$ |
| 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1,751,184.40$ | $1,966,553.55$ | $24,448,415.00$ | $22,481,861.45$ | 8.0 |  |

EXPENDITURES

| LEGISLATIVE |  | 34,859.26 |  | 92,400.50 | 541,872.00 | 449,471.50 | 17.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEGAL |  | 20,888.11 |  | 35,971.31 | 433,717.00 | 397,745.69 | 8.3 |
| LIABILITY INSURANCE |  | 20,918.42 |  | 41,836.84 | 251,021.00 | 209,184.16 | 16.7 |
| JUSTICE COURT |  | 38,595.63 |  | 70,195.96 | 453,796.00 | 383,600.04 | 15.5 |
| FINANCE |  | 31,674.65 |  | 58,455.41 | 508,084.00 | 449,628.59 | 11.5 |
| TRANSFERS |  | 76,036.66 |  | 152,073.32 | 1,057,440.00 | 905,366.68 | 14.4 |
| BUILDING/GROUND MAINT DIVISION |  | 65,505.46 |  | 99,703.79 | 722,165.00 | 622,461.21 | 13.8 |
| POLICE AND ANIMAL SERVICES |  | 534,523.49 |  | 1,020,397.55 | 7,233,974.00 | 6,213,576.45 | 14.1 |
| FIRE \& RESCUE |  | 492,608.18 |  | 893,335.43 | 6,027,541.00 | 5,134,205.57 | 14.8 |
| COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT |  | 51,054.83 |  | 103,352.18 | 793,251.00 | 689,898.82 | 13.0 |
| STREETS DIVISION |  | 56,306.75 |  | 98,470.82 | 774,096.00 | 675,625.18 | 12.7 |
| FLEET SERVICES DIVISION |  | 12,353.83 |  | 24,611.39 | 245,594.00 | 220,982.61 | 10.0 |
| PUBLIC WORKS ADMINISTRATION |  | 42,640.13 |  | 57,857.30 | 449,551.00 | 391,693.70 | 12.9 |
| RECREATION COMPLEX |  | 121,800.30 |  | 164,371.63 | 2,062,001.00 | 1,897,629.37 | 8.0 |
| AQUATIC CENTER |  | 138,189.01 |  | 250,619.90 | 784,608.00 | 533,988.10 | 31.9 |
| ROY DAYS |  | 23,986.22 |  | 59,058.14 | 133,400.00 | 74,341.86 | 44.3 |
| PARKS \& RECREATION |  | 161,212.74 |  | 269,876.14 | 1,976,304.00 | 1,706,427.86 | 13.7 |
|  |  | 1,923,153.67 |  | 3,492,587.61 | 24,448,415.00 | 20,955,827.39 | 14.3 |
|  | ( | 171,969.27) | ( | 1,526,034.06) | 0.00 | 1,526,034.06 | . 0 |

FOR THE 2 MONTHS ENDING AUGUST 31, 2023
$\underline{\text { PERIOD ACTUAL YTD ACTUAL } \quad \text { BUDGET } \quad \text { VARIANCE } \quad \text { PCNT }}$

REVENUE

| 41 | CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND |
| :--- | :--- |
| 50 | UTILITY ENTERPRISE FUND |
| 51 | STORM WATER UTILITY FUND |
| 53 | SOLID WASTE UTILITY FUND |
| 60 | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY |
| 63 | RISK MANAGEMENT FUND |
| 64 | CLASS "C" ROADS |
| 65 | TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTUR |
| 67 | STORM SEWER DEVELOPMENT |
| 68 | PARK DEVELOPMENT |
| 71 | REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY |
| 75 | CEMETERY FUND |


| $43,733.65$ | $87,906.54$ | $1,728,500.00$ | $1,640,593.46$ | 5.1 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $857,846.39$ | $1,745,168.12$ | $9,958,330.00$ | $8,213,161.88$ | 17.5 |  |
| $108,383.73$ | $216,909.41$ | $1,203,852.00$ | $986,942.59$ | 18.0 |  |
| $268,860.03$ | $536,728.64$ | $2,828,389.00$ | $2,291,660.36$ | 19.0 |  |
| $75,723.00$ | $151,446.00$ | $908,675.00$ | $757,229.00$ | 16.7 |  |
| $29,883.51$ | $59,767.02$ | $358,602.00$ | $298,834.98$ | 16.7 |  |
| $14,090.36$ | $351,125.28)$ | $2,557,880.00$ | $2,909,005.28$ | $(13.7)$ |  |
| $81,261.31$ | $20,415.16$ | $725,000.00$ | $704,584.84$ | 2.8 |  |
| $2,648.44$ | $6,017.28$ | $196,000.00$ | $189,982.72$ | 3.1 |  |
| $2,136.82$ | $5,253.45$ | $273,000.00$ | $267,746.55$ | 1.9 |  |
| $15,843.27$ | $26,707.61$ | $1,513,710.00$ | $1,487,002.39$ | 1.8 |  |
| 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | $($ | $20.00)$ | .0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1,500,410.51$ | $2,505,213.95$ | $22,251,938.00$ | $19,746,724.05$ | 11.3 |  |

## EXPENDITURES

| 41 | CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND |
| :--- | :--- |
| 50 | UTILITY ENTERPRISE FUND |
| 51 | STORM WATER UTILITY FUND |
| 53 | SOLID WASTE UTILITY FUND |
| 60 | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY |
| 63 | RISK MANAGEMENT FUND |
| 64 | CLASS "C" ROADS |
| 65 | TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTUR |
| 67 | STORM SEWER DEVELOPMENT |
| 68 | PARK DEVELOPMENT |
| 71 | REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY |
| 75 | CEMETERY FUND |


| 201,246.23 | 201,246.23 | 1,728,500.00 | 1,527,253.77 | 11.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 392,929.12 | 562,821.13 | 9,958,330.00 | 9,395,508.87 | 5.7 |
| 53,368.23 | 100,195.10 | 1,203,852.00 | 1,103,656.90 | 8.3 |
| 109,344.50 | 154,943.91 | 2,828,389.00 | 2,673,445.09 | 5.5 |
| 48,194.72 | 126,061.54 | 908,675.00 | 782,613.46 | 13.9 |
| 7,485.85 | 208,033.40 | 358,602.00 | 150,568.60 | 58.0 |
| 160,378.86 | 205,910.44 | 2,557,880.00 | 2,351,969.56 | 8.1 |
| 5,577.22 | 5,577.22 | 725,000.00 | 719,422.78 | . 8 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 196,000.00 | 196,000.00 | . 0 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 273,000.00 | 273,000.00 | . 0 |
| 73.84 | 73.84 | 1,513,710.00 | 1,513,636.16 | . 0 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | . 0 |
| 978,598.57 | 1,564,862.81 | 22,251,938.00 | 20,687,075.19 | 7.0 |
| 521,811.94 | 940,351.14 | 0.00 | 940,351.14) | . 0 |

# 2023 ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE 

TO BE APPROVED BY THE
CITY COUNCIL
On October 17, 2023

| LICENSE \# | BUSINESS NAME/ ADDRESS | OWNER | CLASSIFICATION | YEAR TO APPROVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9745 | So Delicious Japanese Wasabi | Liang Wu | Class B Beer | 2023 |
|  | 1780 W 5600 S |  | Class B Liquor | 2023 |

## RETAIL LICENSE CLASSIFICATIONS

BEER CLASSIFICATION

| Class A | Off premises consumption |
| :--- | :--- |
| Class B | On premises consumption - restaurant |
| Class C | On premises consumption - tavern |
| Class D | Temporary license |
| Class E | Private club license |

LIQUOR CLASSIFICATION
Class A
Class B Class C

Private Club
Restaurant
Temporary

To: Tammy Nelson
Date: October 4, 2023
Subject: Alcoholic Beverage Application/Inspection
So Delicious Japanese Wasabi
1853 W 5600 S
License \# 9745

We have inspected the building and premise located above and found that the proposed premise does comply with all applicable laws, ordinances and regulations relating to safety in the event of fire or panic, and that this location is reasonably safe for use as a licensed premise for the license applied for.

Any questions please get with me. Thanks.
Lynn Hull | Insector - Fire
Roy City | 5051 South 1900 West, Roy, Utah 84067
(o) 801-774-1189 | www.royutah.org $f$


Date:
October 5, 2023
To: Mayor Dandoy and City Council Members
From: Gaile Supp
Subject: So Delicious Japanese Wasabi, 1780 W 5600 S - Alcoholic Beverage License

So Delicious Japanese Wasabi restaurant, located at 1780 W 1900 W, is being purchased by a new owner, Liang Wu. A Building Inspection has been conducted to ensure that they are compliant with the building and safety codes.

I recommend approval of a Class B beer and Class B liquor license.
Respectfully,


Gaile Supp
Roy City Building Official

October 12, 2023

## Mayor and City Council Members

Re: So Delicious Japanese Wasabi, 1780 W 5600 S - Alcoholic Beverage License

Mayor and Council
After reviewing the criminal record of the applicant, there is nothing in the applicant's criminal history that would disqualify them from having an alcohol license here in Roy.

Best,


Matthew M. Wilson
Roy City Attorney
matt.wilson@royutah.org
801-774-1022

## RESOLUTION NO. 23-20

## A Resolution Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Interlocal Agreement Between Roy City and the Weber School District, Wherein Roy City will Provide Law Enforcement Personnel to Various School Campuses Located in Roy City.

WHEREAS, the City of Roy ("City") is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Utah;

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that in conformance with Utah Code Section 10-3717, the City Council as the governing body of the City may exercise administrative powers by resolution;

WHEREAS, the City and District want a safe and secure environment for students, faculty and all others using the District's school campuses and to allow students to obtain a quality education free from distractions;

WHEREAS, the City is able and willing to provide the law enforcement needed by the district;

WHEREAS, the City and District would like to provide a platform for positive interactions between law enforcement personnel, students, and staff, in order to build and strengthen the partnership between the students, the staff, the community, and law enforcement;

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that under the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code Ann. § 11-13-1, et seq., as amended, (the "Act"), any power or powers, privileges or authority exercised or capable of exercise by a public agency that may be exercised and enjoyed jointly with any other public agency, and that any two or more public agencies may contract with another for joint or cooperative action under the Act;

WHEREAS, the proposed interlocal agreement delineating the relevant terms, conditions, and obligations of the parties is attached to this resolution as "Exhibit A"; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that entering into and supporting the interlocal agreement is in the best interest of the citizens of Roy City;

NOW THEREFORE, the Roy City Council hereby resolves to enter into the attached Interlocal Agreement with Weber School District for the purpose of providing law enforcement personnel to various school campuses located in Roy City. The Mayor of Roy City is authorized and directed to execute the Interlocal Agreement for and on behalf of Roy City.

Passed this 17th day of October, 2023.

# Robert Dandoy <br> Mayor 

Attested and Recorded:

Brittany Fowers
City Recorder
This Resolution has been approved by the following vote of the Roy City Council:
Councilmember Sophie Paul
Councilmember Scadden
Councilmember Wilson
Councilmember Joe Paul
Councilmember Jackson

## RESOLUTION NO. 23-21

A Resolution of the Roy City Council Amending an Interlocal Agreement Between Roy
City Corporation, Ogden City Corporation, South Ogden City, Corporation, Riverdale
City Corporation, North View Fire District, and the Weber Fire District adding
Washington Terrace City as a Participant in the Automatic Aid Fire Agreement A Resolution Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Interlocal Agreement

WHEREAS, the City of Roy ("City") is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Utah;

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. § 11-13-101 et. Seq., permits governmental entities to enter into cooperation agreements with each other;

WHEREAS, such agreement is in furtherance of the purposes of Utah Code Ann. § 11-7$1 ;$

WHEREAS, Roy City recognizes the importance and need for joint cooperation with local entities to provide and receive services from neighboring communities which is a necessary and needed service to the City and surrounding communities;

WHEREAS, Roy City previously entered into an Agreement to provide Aid to neighboring communities in the Automatic Fire Aid Agreement;

WHEREAS, Washington Terrace is seeking to join the Automatic Aid Fire Agreement by providing and receiving aid in response to fires;

WHEREAS, the proposed interlocal agreement delineating the relevant terms, conditions, and obligations of the parties is attached to this resolution as "Exhibit A"; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that amending and supporting the interlocal agreement is in the best interest of the citizens of Roy City;

NOW THEREFORE, the Roy City Council hereby resolves to amend the attached Interlocal Agreement adding Washington Terrace City to the Automatic Aid Fire Agreement. The Mayor of Roy City is authorized and directed to execute the Interlocal Agreement for and on behalf of Roy City.

Passed this 17th day of October, 2023.

Attested and Recorded:

Brittany Fowers
City Recorder
This Resolution has been approved by the following vote of the Roy City Council:
Councilmember Sophie Paul
Councilmember Scadden
Councilmember Wilson
Councilmember Joe Paul
Councilmember Jackson

## RESOLUTION NO. 23-22

## A RESOLUTION DESIGNATING INDIVIDUALS TO ACT ON BEHALF OF ROY CITY IN TRANSACTIONS CONCERNING THE PUBLIC TREASURERS' INVESTMENT FUND.

Whereas, Roy City maintains fund balance reserves in the Utah Public Treasurers Investment Fund, and
Whereas, The Utah Office of the State Treasurer administers the fund and in an effort to increase efficiency is requiring Roy City to adopt the attached Public Entity Resolutions; and

Whereas, the attached Public Entity Resolution authorizes designated individuals to act on behalf of Roy City in transactions concerning the Public Treasurers Investment Fund; and

Whereas, the Roy City Council has determined that it is in the best interest of Roy City to adopt the attached Public Entity Resolution; and

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the attached Public Entity Resolution is hereby adopted this $17^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2023.

Robert Dandoy, Mayor

Attested:

Brittany Fowers, City Recorder

Voting:
Councilmember Jackson
Councilmember Joe Paul
Councilmember Wilson
Councilmember Sophie Paul
Councilmember Scadden

# Roy City RDA Agenda <br> Worksheet 

Roy City RDA Meeting Date: October 17, 2023
Agenda Item Number: Action Item \#4
Subject: RESOLUTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF ROY CITY APPROVING INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE AGENCY AND VARIOUS TAXING ENTITIES.

Prepared By: Brody Flint

## Background:

To finalize the Southeast 1900 CRA the RDA board is required to approve the interlocal agreements that the other participating taxing entities have approved. This resolution will apply to those that have already approved the interlocal agreements and those entities that are to do so in the near future.
The participating entities are Roy City, Weber County, Weber County School District, Weber Basin Water Conservancy District, North Davis Sewer, and Roy Water Conservancy District.
This resolution approves the interlocal agreements whereby the Taxing Entities would remit to the Agency a portion of the property tax increment generated within the Amended 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area, which would otherwise flow to the Taxing Entities, for the purpose of encouraging development activities through the payment for certain public infrastructure and other uses that directly benefit the Project Area. This participation is for 70\% of the TIF for a 20-year term.

## Recommendation (Information Only or Decision): Decision

Contact Person / Phone Number: Brody Flint / Rob Sant

Roy City Redevelopment Agency Amended 1900 Southeast CRA Остober 2023

## Statutory Framework and Governance of Project Areas

Under Utah Code 17C "Limited Purpose Local Government Entities - Community Reinvestment Agency Act," Utah's local governments have the authority to conduct economic development activities within their communities through their Community Reinvestment Agencies.

As of 2017, only CRAs can be created. Project areas created before 2017 and that were established as a URA, EDA, or CDA can still be active today and are governed under the requirements of their respective Project Area types until they expire.


## TAX Increment

- Not the result of a tax increase!
- Property taxes "frozen" the creation year of the project ("base year")
- A portion of property taxes in excess of the base year are redirected to the redevelopment agency for use within a defined geographic area in the community.


## Tax Increment Example

## Example Tax Increment Calculation

| Marginal Value <br> (Value above Base): <br> $\$ 232,603,915$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Base Year Value: |
| $\$ 49,210,014$ |$\quad$| Current |
| :--- |
| Assessed |
| Value: |
| $\$ 281,813,929$ |

Current Assessed Value: $\quad \$ 281,813,929$
Less Base Year Value: \$49,210,014
Marginal Value:
\$232,603,915

City Certified Tax Rate: 0.001484
Marginal Value X Certified Tax Rate

$$
\$ 232,603,915 \times 0.001484=\$ 345,184
$$

City Participation Rate: 70\%

$$
\$ 345,184 \times 70 \%=\$ 241,629
$$

## Proposed CRA Project Area Boundaries

- Total Project Area Acres: 67.52 acres
- Location: South of Riverdale Road, between 1900 West and l-15.
- Existing Land Use: Commercial and Vacant Land
- 2022 Value: $\$ 49,210,014$
- Property Tax Generation: \$472,367



## Class A Housing Need

## Roy Innovation Center (RIC)

- Headquarters of Northrop Grumman's nationwide team supporting the Nation's Ground Based Strategic Deterrent (GBSD) program (\$13.3 billion contract).
- 5,000 new STEM jobs.
- High paying annual contracts.

- Employees looking for higher-end housing options.



## Reinvestment/Rebranding Roy's Downtown

## Current Downtown



## Future Downtown



## Development Assumptions \& Tax Base

|  | Square <br> Feet/Units | \$/Square <br> Feet/Unit |  <br> Land Value) | Personal Property <br> Value | Assessed Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Residential ${ }^{1}$ | 847 Units | $\$ 274,071$ | $\$ 127,580,558$ | $\$-27,580,558$ |  |
| Commercial | $311,037 \mathrm{SF}$ | $\$ 205.18$ | $\$ 63,818,526$ | $\$ 9,622,779$ | $\$ 73,441,305$ |
| Office | $121,710 \mathrm{SF}$ | $\$ 225.64$ | $\$ 27,462,654$ | $\$ 4,119,398$ | $\$ 31,582,052$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 8 , 8 6 1 , 7 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 7 4 2 , 1 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 2 , 6 0 3 , 9 1 5}$ |

- 10 Year Absorption Schedule
- Estimated Base Year Tax Value: \$49,210,014
- Incremental Assessed Value in 20 years: \$232,603,915
- Total Assessed Value in 20 years: $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 1 , 8 1 3 , 9 2 9}$
- Length of Tax Increment Participation: 20 Years
- Participation Rate of all Taxing Entities: 70\%


## Requested Participation from Taxing Entities

| Entity | Percentage | Length |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weber County | $70 \%$ | 20 Years |
| Weber County School District | $70 \%$ | 20 Years |
| Roy City | $70 \%$ | 20 Years |
| Weber Basin Water Conservancy District | $70 \%$ | 20 Years |
| North Davis Sewer District | $70 \%$ | 20 Years |
| Roy Water Conservancy District | $70 \%$ | 20 Years |

## Base Year Taxes

- Assumptions:
- Estimated Base Year Tax Value: \$49,210,014
- \$472,367 Base Year Tax for 20 Years

| Entity | ANNUAL TAX | 20-Year Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weber County | $\$ 94,582$ | $\$ 1,891,633$ |
| Weber County School District | $\$ 271,344$ | $\$ 5,426,880$ |
| Roy City | $\$ 73,028$ | $\$ 1,460,553$ |
| Weber Basin Water Conservancy District | $\$ 8,218$ | $\$ 164,361$ |
| North Davis Sewer District | $\$ 23,030$ | $\$ 460,606$ |
| Roy Water Conservancy District | $\$ 2,165$ | $\$ 43,305$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 472,367$ | $\$ 9,447,338$ |

## Pass-Through Increment to Taxing Entities

- Assumptions:
- Incremental Assessed Value: \$232,603,915
- $30 \%$ of TIF for 20 Years

| ENTITY | Average AnNUAL TIF | 20-YeAR TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weber County | $\$ 106,918$ | $\$ 2,138,356$ |
| Weber County School District | $\$ 306,735$ | $\$ 6,134,702$ |
| Roy City | $\$ 82,553$ | $\$ 1,651,051$ |
| Weber Basin Water Conservancy District | $\$ 9,290$ | $\$ 185,799$ |
| North Davis Sewer District | $\$ 26,034$ | $\$ 520,682$ |
| Roy Water Conservancy District | $\$ 2,448$ | $\$ 48,953$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 5 3 3 , 9 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 6 7 9 , 5 4 3}$ |

## URBAN \& MAIN

## Estimated Sources of Tax Increment for CRA Budget

- Assumptions:
- Incremental Assessed Value: \$232,603,915
- 70\% of TIF for 20 Years

| Entity | Average AnNUAL TIF | 20-Year Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weber County | $\$ 249,475$ | $\$ 4,989,498$ |
| Weber County School District | $\$ 715,715$ | $\$ 14,314,304$ |
| Roy City | $\$ 192,623$ | $\$ 3,852,453$ |
| Weber Basin Water Conservancy District | $\$ 21,677$ | $\$ 433,531$ |
| North Davis Sewer District | $\$ 60,746$ | $\$ 1,214,925$ |
| Roy Water Conservancy District | $\$ 5,711$ | $\$ 114,224$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 4 5 , 9 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 9 1 8 , 9 3 5}$ |

## URBAN \& MAIN

## Tax Increment Graph

## Amended 1900 Southeast CRA



- New Growth to Taxing Entities
$■$ New TIF to Agency for CRA Budget
- New TIF Retained by Taxing Entities
- Base Year Revenue Retained by Taxing Entities


## Estimated Uses of Tax Increment

- ASSUMPTIONS:
- Incremental Assessed Value: \$232,603,915
- 70\% of TIF for 20 Years

| EnTITY | PERCENT | Average ANNUAL TIF | 20-Year Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Redevelopment Activities - Infrastructure, parking <br> structures, demolition, land assemblage, etc. | $87 \%$ | $\$ 1,083,974$ | $\$ 21,679,473$ |
| CRA Affordable Housing Requirement | $10 \%$ | $\$ 124,595$ | $\$ 2,491,893$ |
| Agency Administration | $3 \%$ | $\$ 37,378$ | $\$ 747,568$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 4 5 , 9 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 9 1 8 , 9 3 5}$ |

## Extraordinary ("But For") Costs Necessitating TIF

| DESCRIPTION | COST |
| :--- | :---: |
| Parking Structures to increase density within commercial corridor (1,200 <br> Stalls @ \$30,000/stall) | $\$ 36,000,000$ |
| Redevelopment Expenses (building razing, land assemblage, <br> infrastructure improvements and upsizing, etc. | TBD |
| Market Constraints (construction loan interest rates, building material <br> costs, etc.) | $\$ 7,200,000$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 4 3 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

RESOLUTION NO. 23-23

## RESOLUTION OF ROY CITY APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF ROY CITY.

WHEREAS pursuant to the provisions of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Title 11, Chapter 13, Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended (the "Interlocal Act"), and the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Agency Act, Title 17C, Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended (the "Act"), public agencies, including political subdivisions of the State of Utah as therein defined, are authorized to enter into mutually advantageous agreements for joint and cooperative actions, including the sharing of tax and other revenues; and

WHEREAS Roy City (the "City") and The Redevelopment Agency of Roy City (the "Agency"), are "public agencies" for purposes of the Act; and

WHEREAS after careful analysis and consideration of relevant information, the City desires to enter into an Interlocal Agreement with the Agency whereby the City would remit to the Agency a portion of the property tax increment generated within the Amended 1900 Southeast Community Reinvestment Project Area, (the "Project Area") which would otherwise flow to the City, for the purpose of encouraging development activities through the payment for certain public infrastructure and other uses that directly benefit the Project Area; and

WHEREAS Section 11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Cooperation Act requires that certain interlocal agreements be approved by resolution of the legislative body of a public agency.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF ROY CITY, AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between the City and the Agency, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Agreement"), is approved in final form, and shall be executed for and on behalf of the City by the Mayor of the City.
2. Pursuant to Section 11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Act, the Agreement has been submitted to legal counsel of the City for review and approval as to form and legality.
3. Pursuant to Section 11-13-209 of the Interlocal Act, a duly executed original counterpart of the Agreement shall be filed immediately with the City Recorder, the keeper of records of the City.
4. As provided in Utah Code Ann. § 17C-5-205(3), the Agreement shall be effective on the day on which the Agency publishes notice of the Agreements pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 11-13-219 of the Interlocal Act.
5. This Resolution shall take effect upon adoption.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of Roy City this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2023.

Mayor,
Roy City
Attest:

City Recorder

EXHIBIT A
INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT

# Roy City Council Agenda <br> Worksheet 

Roy City Council Meeting Date: 10/17/23

Agenda Item: Discussion Items \#1
Subject: Speed Limit 4400 S.

Prepared By: Diane Wilson
Background: Until several years ago, the speed limit on 4400 S . was 35 mph , it is currently 25 mph .4400 S . is a main artery which is wide with good visibility. All other East-West main arteries are $35 \mathrm{mph}-4000 \mathrm{~S} ., 4800$ S., $5600 \mathrm{~S} ., 6000 \mathrm{~S}$. These arteries have similar features, including school crossings. It is not justifiable to keep 4400 S . at 25 mph . Traffic data reveals $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed at 33 mph .

Traffic data:
Highest Speeds Summary Report

Title/Location: 4400
Sign Address: 82191119260002
Total number of vehicles: 50685
Posted Speed Limit: 25 MPH
25th Percentile Speed: 25 MPH Total Vehicles For:
Below 21: 1581
33-38: 7332
51-56: 6
Above 69: 0

Measurement Period: 06/19/23 12:00:02 AM to 07/19/23 02:30:02 PM Reporting Period: 06/19/23 12:00:02 AM to 07/19/23 02:30:02 PM

Lowest Log Speed Setting: 15 MPH Average Speed: 29 MPH 50th Percentile Speed: 29 MPH

21-26: 13489
39-44: 892
57-62: 1

Highest Log Speed Setting: 75 MPH 85th Percentile Speed: 33 MPH

27-32: 27319
45-50: 64
63-68: 1

Recommendation (Information Only or Decision): Recommendation to increase speed to 30 mph . Discussion and Decision

Contact Person: Diane Wilson


[^0]:    In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing auxiliary communicative aids and services for these meetings should contact the Administration Department at (801) 774-1020 or by email: admin@royutah.org at least 48 hours in advance of the

